23 June 2016

OU-HET 893
MISC-2016-06

arXiv:1606.07222v1 [hep-ph] 23 Jun 2016

Toward Realistic Gauge-Higgs Grand Unification

Atsushi Furui[1], **Yutaka Hosotani**[1] **and Naoki Yamatsu**[2]

[1]*Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan*

[2]*Maskawa Institute for Science and Culture, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan*

Abstract

The $SO(11)$ gauge-Higgs grand unification in the Randall-Sundrum warped space is presented. The 4D Higgs field is identified as the zero mode of the fifth dimensional component of the gauge potentials, or as the fluctuation mode of the Aharonov-Bohm phase θ_H along the fifth dimension. Fermions are introduced in the bulk in the spinor and vector representations of $SO(11)$. $SO(11)$ is broken to $SO(4) \times SO(6)$ by the orbifold boundary conditions, which is broken to $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_C$ by a brane scalar. Evaluating the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$, we show that the electroweak symmetry is dynamically broken to $U(1)_{\rm EM}$. The quark-lepton masses are generated by the Hosotani mechanism and brane interactions, with which the observed mass spectrum is reproduced. The proton decay is forbidden thanks to the new fermion number conservation. It is pointed out that there appear light exotic fermions. The Higgs boson mass is determined with the quark-lepton masses given, which, however, turns out smaller than the observed value.

1 Introduction

Up to now almost all observational data at low energies are consistent with the standard model (SM) of electroweak interactions. Yet it is not clear whether the Higgs boson discovered in 2012 at LHC is precisely what is introduced in the SM. Detailed study of interactions of the Higgs boson is necessary to pin down its nature. From the theory viewpoint the Higgs boson sector of the SM lacks a principle which governs and regulates the Higgs interactions with itself and other fields, quite in contrast to the gauge sector in which the gauge principle of $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ completely fixes gauge interactions among gauge fields, quarks and leptons. Further the mass of the Higgs scalar boson m_H generally acquires large quantum corrections from much higher energy scales, which have to be canceled by fine-tuning bare masses in a theory. It is called the gauge-hierarchy problem.

Many proposals have been made to overcome these problems. Supersymmetric generalization of the SM is among them. There is an alternative scenario of the gauge-Higgs unification in which the 4D Higgs boson is identified with a part of the extra-dimensional component of gauge fields defined in higher dimensional spacetime.[1]-[4] The Higgs boson, which is massless at the tree level, acquires a finite mass at the quantum level, independent of a cutoff scale and regularization scheme. The $SO(5) \times U(1)_X$ gauge-Higgs electroweak (EW) unification in the five-dimensional Randall-Sundrum (RS) warped space has been formulated.[5]-[11] It gives almost the same phenomenology at low energies as the SM, provided that the Aharonov-Bohm (AB) phase θ_H in the fifth dimension is $\theta_H \lesssim 0.1$. In particular, cubic couplings of the Higgs boson with other fields, W, Z, quarks and leptons, are approximately given by the SM couplings multiplied by $\cos\theta_H$.[7][12]-[15] The corrections to the decay rates $H \to \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma$, which take place through one-loop diagrams, turn out finite and small.[11, 16] Although infinitely many Kaluza-Klein (KK) excited states of W and top quark contribute, there appears miraculous cancellation among their contributions. In the gauge-Higgs unification the production rate of the Higgs boson at LHC is approximately that in the SM times $\cos^2\theta_H$, and the branching fractions of various Higgs decay modes are nearly the same as in the SM. The cubic and quartic self-interactions of the Higgs boson show deviations from those in the SM, which should be checked in future LHC and ILC experiments. Further the gauge-Higgs unification predicts the Z' bosons, namely the first KK modes of γ, Z and Z_R, around 6 to 8 TeV range with broad widths for $\theta_H = 0.11$ to 0.07, which awaits confirmation at 14 TeV LHC in the near future.[17, 18]

With a viable model of gauge-Higgs EW unification at hand, it is natural and necessary to extend it to gauge-Higgs grand unification to incorporate strong interaction. Since the

idea of grand unification was proposed [19], a lot of grand unified theories based on grand unified gauge groups in four and higher dimensional spaces have been discussed. (See, e.g., Refs. [20]-[51] for recent works and Refs. [52, 53] for review.) The mere fact of the charge quantization in the quark-lepton spectrum strongly indicates the grand unification. Such attempt to construct gauge-Higgs grand unification has been made recently. $SO(11)$ gauge-Higgs grand unification in the RS space with fermions in the spinor and vector representations of $SO(11)$ has been proposed.[54, 55, 56] The model carries over good features of the $SO(5) \times U(1)_X$ EW unification. In this paper we present detailed analysis of the $SO(11)$ gauge-Higgs grand unification. Particularly we present how to obtain the observed quark-lepton mass spectrum in the combination of the Hosotani mechanism and brane interactions on the Planck brane. It will be shown that the proton decay can be forbidden by the new fermion number conservation.

There have been many proposals of gauge-Higgs grand unification in the literature, but they are not completely satisfactory in the points of the realistic spectrum and the symmetry breaking structure.[57]-[62] In the current model $SO(11)$ symmetry is broken to $SO(4) \times SO(6)$ by orbifold boundary conditions, which breaks down to $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_C$ by a brane scalar on the Planck brane. Finally $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ is dynamically broken to $U(1)_{\rm EM}$ by the Hosotani mechanism. The quark-lepton mass spectrum is reproduced. However, unwanted exotic fermions appear. Further elaboration of the scenario is necessary to achieve a completely realistic grand unification model. We note that there have been many advances in the gauge-Higgs unification both in electroweak theory and grand unification.[63]-[69] Mechanism for dynamically selecting orbifold boundary conditions has been explored.[70] The gauge symmetry breaking by the Hosotani mechanism has been examined not only in the continuum theory, but also on the lattice by nonperturbative simulations.[71, 72, 73]

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the $SO(11)$ model is introduced. The symmetry breaking structure and fermion content are explained in detail. The proton stability is also shown. In Section 3 the mass spectrum of gauge fields is determined. In Section 4 the mass spectrum of fermion fields are determined. With these results the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ is evaluated in Section 5. Conclusion and discussions are given in Section 6.

2 $SO(11)$ Model

The $SO(11)$ gauge theory is defined in the Randall-Sundrum (RS) space whose metric is given by

$$ds^2 = G_{MN}dx^M dx^N = e^{-2\sigma(y)}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where $M,N = 0,1,2,3,5$, $\mu,\nu = 0,1,2,3$, $y = x^5$, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,+1,+1,+1)$, $\sigma(y) = \sigma(y+2L) = \sigma(-y)$, and $\sigma(y) = ky$ for $0 \le y \le L$. The topological structure of the RS space is $S_1/\mathbb{Z}_2$. In terms of the conformal coordinate $z = e^{ky}$ ($1 \le z \le z_L = e^{kL}$) in the region $0 \le y \le L$

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2}\left(\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + \frac{dz^2}{k^2}\right). \tag{2.2}$$

The bulk region $0 < y < L$ ($1 < z < z_L$) is anti-de Sitter (AdS) spacetime with a cosmological constant $\Lambda = -6k^2$, which is sandwiched by the Planck brane at $y = 0$ ($z = 1$) and the TeV brane at $y = L$ ($z = z_L$). The KK mass scale is $m_{\rm KK} = \pi k/(z_L - 1) \sim \pi k z_L^{-1}$ for $z_L \gg 1$.

2.1 Action and boundary conditions

The model consists of $SO(11)$ gauge fields A_M, fermion multiplets in the spinor representation $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$ and in the vector representation $\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$, and a brane scalar field $\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}$.[54] In each generation of quarks and leptons, one $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$ and two $\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$'s are introduced. $\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}(x)$, in the spinor representation of $SO(10)$, is defined on the Planck brane.

The bulk part of the action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm bulk} = \int d^5x\sqrt{-\det G}\,\Big[&-\mathrm{tr}\left\{\frac{1}{4}F^{MN}F_{MN} + \frac{1}{2\xi}(f_{\rm gf})^2 + \mathcal{L}_{\rm gh}\right\} \\ &+ \sum_{a=1}^{3}\left\{\overline{\Psi}^a_{\mathbf{32}}\mathcal{D}(c_{\Psi^a_{\mathbf{32}}})\Psi^a_{\mathbf{32}} + \overline{\Psi}^a_{\mathbf{11}}\mathcal{D}(c_{\Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}}})\Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}} + \overline{\Psi}'^a_{\mathbf{11}}\mathcal{D}(c_{\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{11}}})\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{11}}\right\}, \\ \mathcal{D}(c) = \gamma^A e_A{}^M\Big(\partial_M + \frac{1}{8}\omega_{MBC}[\gamma^B,\gamma^C] - igA_M\Big) &- c\,\sigma'(y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $M,N,A,B,C = 0,1,2,3,5$, $a = 1,2,3$. a stands for the generation index. $c_{\Psi^a_{\mathbf{32}}}$, $c_{\Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}}}$, $c_{\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{32}}}$ represent bulk mass parameters. We employ the background field method, separating A_M into the classical part $A^{\rm c}_M$ and the quantum part $A^{\rm q}_M$; $A_M = A^{\rm c}_M + A^{\rm q}_M$. The gauge-fixing function and the associated ghost term are given, in the conformal coordinate, by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\rm gf} &= z^2\left\{\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}^{\rm c}_\mu A^{\rm q}_\nu + \xi k^2 z\mathcal{D}^{\rm c}_z\Big(\frac{1}{z}A^{\rm q}_z\Big)\right\}\ , \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rm gh} &= \bar{c}\Big\{\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}^{\rm c}_\mu\mathcal{D}_\nu + \xi k^2 z\mathcal{D}^{\rm c}_z\frac{1}{z}\mathcal{D}_z\Big\}c\ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{\rm c}_M A^{\rm q}_N \equiv \partial_M A^{\rm q}_N - ig_A[A^{\rm c}_M, A^{\rm q}_N]$, $\mathcal{D}_M c \equiv \partial_M c - ig[A_M, c]$ etc. We adopt the convention $\{\gamma^A,\gamma^B\} = 2\eta^{AB}$, $\eta^{AB} = \mathrm{diag}\ (-1,1,1,1,1)$, $G_{MN} = e_{AM}e^A{}_N$, and $\overline{\Psi} = i\Psi^\dagger\gamma^0$.

Generators $T_{jk} = -T_{kj}$ of $SO(11)$ are summarized in Appendix A in the vectorial and spinorial representations. We adopt the normalization $A_M = 2^{-1/2}\sum_{j<k}A^{(jk)}_M T_{jk}$ and

$F_{MN} = \partial_M A_N - \partial_N A_M - ig[A_M, A_N] = 2^{-1/2} \sum_{j<k} F_{MN}^{(jk)} T_{jk}$, With this normalization the $SU(2)_L$ weak gauge coupling constant is given by $g_w = g/\sqrt{L}$. The orbifold boundary conditions for the gauge fields are given, in the y-coordinate, by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu \\ A_y \end{pmatrix} (x, y_j - y) = P_j \begin{pmatrix} A_\mu \\ -A_y \end{pmatrix} (x, y_j + y) P_j^{-1} \ ,$$

$$A_M(x, y + 2L) = U A_M(x, y) U^{-1} \ , \quad U = P_1 P_0 \ . \tag{2.5}$$

where $(y_0, y_1) = (0, L)$. The ghost fields, c and $\bar{c}$, satisfy the same boundary conditions as A_μ. $P_j = P_j^\dagger = P_j^{-1}$ is given in the vectorial representation by

$$P_0^{\rm vec} = {\rm diag}(I_{10}, -I_1) \ , \quad P_1^{\rm vec} = {\rm diag}(I_4, -I_7) \ , \tag{2.6}$$

and in the spinorial representation by

$$P_0^{\rm sp} = \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^3 = I_{16} \otimes \sigma^3 \ ,$$

$$P_1^{\rm sp} = \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 = I_2 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes I_8 \ . \tag{2.7}$$

The $SO(11)$ symmetry is broken down to $SO(10)$ by P_0 at $y = 0$, and to $SO(4) \times SO(7)$ by P_1 at $y = L$. With these two combined, there remains $SO(4) \times SO(6) \simeq SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)$ symmetry, which is further broken to $G_{\rm SM} = SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Y$ by $\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{16}} \rangle$ on the Planck brane as described below. $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ is dynamically broken to $U(1)_{\rm EM}$ by the Hosotani mechanism.

Fermion fields obey the following boundary conditions;

$$\Psi^a_{\mathbf{32}}(x, y_j - y) = -\gamma^5 P_j^{\rm sp} \Psi^a_{\mathbf{32}}(x, y_j + y) \ ,$$

$$\Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}}(x, y_j - y) = (-1)^j \gamma^5 P_j^{\rm vec} \Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}}(x, y_j + y) \ ,$$

$$\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{11}}(x, y_j - y) = (-1)^{j+1} \gamma^5 P_j^{\rm vec} \Psi'^a_{\mathbf{11}}(x, y_j + y) \ . \tag{2.8}$$

Eigenstates of γ^5 with $\gamma^5 = \pm 1$ correspond to right- and left-handed components in four dimensions. For $\Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}}$ and $\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{11}}$ one might impose alternative boundary conditions given by

$$\Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}}(x, y_j - y) = \gamma^5 P_j^{\rm vec} \Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}}(x, y_j + y) \ ,$$

$$\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{11}}(x, y_j - y) = -\gamma^5 P_j^{\rm vec} \Psi'^a_{\mathbf{11}}(x, y_j + y) \ . \tag{2.9}$$

It turns out that the model with (2.8) is easier to analyze in reproducing the mass spectrum of quarks and leptons.

On the Planck brane (at $y = 0$) the brane scalar field $\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}$ has an $SO(10)$ invariant action given by

$$S_{\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}} = \int d^5x\sqrt{-\mathrm{det}G}\,\delta(y)\Big\{ -(D_\mu\Phi_{\mathbf{16}})^\dagger D^\mu\Phi_{\mathbf{16}} - \lambda_{\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}}(\Phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{16}}\Phi_{\mathbf{16}} - w^2)^2\Big\},$$

$$D_\mu\Phi_{\mathbf{16}} = (\partial_\mu - igA^{SO(10)}_\mu)\Phi_{\mathbf{16}} = \Big\{\partial_\mu - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{j<k}^{10} A^{(jk)}_\mu T^{\mathrm{sp}}_{jk}\Big\}\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}\ . \tag{2.10}$$

$\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}$ develops VEV. Without loss of generality one can take

$$\langle\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0_4 \\ 0_4 \\ v_4 \\ 0_4 \end{pmatrix}\ ,\quad v_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ w \end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{2.11}$$

On the Planck brane $SO(10)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken to $SU(5)$ by $\langle\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}\rangle \neq 0$. With the orbifold boundary condition P_1, $SO(11)$ symmetry is broken to the SM symmetry $G_{\mathrm{SM}} = SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$.

To see it more explicitly, we note that mass terms for gauge fields are generated from (2.10) in the form $-g^2\langle\Phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{16}}\rangle\eta^{\mu\nu}A^{SO(10)}_\mu A^{SO(10)}_\nu\langle\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}\rangle$. Making use of (A.3) and (A.4) for T^{sp}_{jk}, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{gauge}}_{\mathrm{brane\ mass}} = -\delta(y)\,\frac{g^2w^2}{8}\Big\{ & (A^{15}_\mu - A^{26}_\mu)^2 + (A^{16}_\mu + A^{25}_\mu)^2 \\
& + (A^{17}_\mu - A^{28}_\mu)^2 + (A^{18}_\mu + A^{27}_\mu)^2 + (A^{19}_\mu - A^{2,10}_\mu)^2 + (A^{1,10}_\mu + A^{29}_\mu)^2 \\
& + (A^{35}_\mu + A^{46}_\mu)^2 + (A^{36}_\mu - A^{45}_\mu)^2 + (A^{37}_\mu + A^{48}_\mu)^2 + (A^{38}_\mu - A^{47}_\mu)^2 \\
& + (A^{39}_\mu + A^{4,10}_\mu)^2 + (A^{3,10}_\mu - A^{49}_\mu)^2 + (A^{57}_\mu - A^{68}_\mu)^2 + (A^{58}_\mu + A^{67}_\mu)^2 \\
& + (A^{59}_\mu - A^{6,10}_\mu)^2 + (A^{5,10}_\mu + A^{69}_\mu)^2 + (A^{79}_\mu - A^{8,10}_\mu)^2 + (A^{7,10}_\mu + A^{89}_\mu)^2 \\
& + (A^{23}_\mu - A^{14}_\mu)^2 + (A^{31}_\mu - A^{24}_\mu)^2 + (A^{12}_\mu - A^{34}_\mu + A^{56}_\mu + A^{78}_\mu + A^{9\,10}_\mu)^2\ \Big\}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

In all, 21 components in $SO(10)/SU(5)$ acquire large brane masses by $\langle\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}\rangle$, which effectively alters the Neumann boundary condition at $y = 0$ to the Dirichlet boundary condition for their low-lying modes ($m_n \ll gw/\sqrt{L}$) as will be seen in Section 3. It follows that the $SU(5)$ generators are given, up to normalization, by

(i) $SU(2)_L$:

$$T^1_L = \tfrac{1}{2}(T_{23} + T_{14})\ ,\ T^2_L = \tfrac{1}{2}(T_{31} + T_{24})\ ,\ T^3_L = \tfrac{1}{2}(T_{12} + T_{34})\ ,$$

(ii) $SU(3)_C$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_{57}+T_{68} \\ T_{58}-T_{67} \end{pmatrix}, \ \begin{pmatrix} T_{59}+T_{6\,10} \\ T_{5\,10}-T_{69} \end{pmatrix}, \ \begin{pmatrix} T_{79}+T_{8\,10} \\ T_{7\,10}-T_{89} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$T_{56}-T_{78} \ , \ T_{56}+T_{78}-2T_{9\,10} \ ,$$

(iii) $U(1)_Y$:

$$Q_Y = \tfrac{1}{2}(T_{12}-T_{34}) - \tfrac{1}{3}(T_{56}+T_{78}+T_{9\,10}) \ ,$$

(iv) $SU(5)/SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_{15}+T_{26} \\ T_{16}-T_{25} \end{pmatrix}, \ \begin{pmatrix} T_{17}+T_{28} \\ T_{18}-T_{27} \end{pmatrix}, \ \begin{pmatrix} T_{19}+T_{2\,10} \\ T_{1\,10}-T_{29} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} T_{35}-T_{46} \\ T_{36}+T_{45} \end{pmatrix}, \ \begin{pmatrix} T_{37}-T_{48} \\ T_{38}+T_{47} \end{pmatrix}, \ \begin{pmatrix} T_{39}-T_{4\,10} \\ T_{3\,10}+T_{49} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.13}$$

12 components of the gauge fields A_μ in the class (iv) have no zero modes by the boundary conditions. This leaves $SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry.

It will be seen later that $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry is dynamically broken to $U(1)_{\rm EM}$ by the Hosotani mechanism. The AB phase θ_H associated with $A_z^{4,11}$ becomes nontrivial so that A_μ^{34} picks up an additional mass term. Consequently the surviving massless gauge boson, the photon, is given by

$$A_\mu^{\rm EM} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}A_\mu^{12} - \frac{1}{2}A_\mu^{0_C} \ , \quad A_\mu^{0_C} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(A_\mu^{56}+A_\mu^{78}+A_\mu^{9\,10}) \ ,$$
$$Q_{\rm EM} = T_{12} - \tfrac{1}{3}(T_{56}+T_{78}+T_{9\,10}) = T_L^3 + T_R^3 - \tfrac{1}{3}(T_{56}+T_{78}+T_{9,10}) \ , \tag{2.14}$$

where T_R^a are generators of $SU(2)_R$. The orthogonal component $\tilde{A}_\mu = \frac{1}{2}A_\mu^{12} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}A_\mu^{0_C}$ and A_μ^{34} mix with each other for $\theta_H \neq 0$. More rigorous and detailed reasoning is given in Section 3 in the twisted gauge. The $U(1)_Y$ gauge boson, B_μ^Y, is given by

$$B_\mu^Y = \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}A_\mu^{3_R} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}A_\mu^{0_C} \ , \quad A_\mu^{3_L,3_R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A_\mu^{12} \pm A_\mu^{34}) \ . \tag{2.15}$$

The gauge couplings become

$$gA_\mu = g\Big\{ A_\mu^{3_L}T_{3_L} + A_\mu^{3_R}T_{3_R} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A_\mu^{56}T_{56} + A_\mu^{78}T_{78} + A_\mu^{9\,10}T_{9\,10}) + \cdots \Big\}$$
$$= g\Big\{ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\, A_\mu^{\rm EM}\, Q_{\rm EM} + \cdots \Big\}$$
$$= g\Big\{ A_\mu^{3_L}T_{3_L} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\, B_\mu^Y Q_Y + \cdots \Big\}. \tag{2.16}$$

In other words 4D gauge couplings and the Weinberg angle are given, at the grand unification scale, by

$$g_w = \frac{g}{\sqrt{L}} \ , \ e = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\, g_w \ , \ g_Y = \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\, g_w \ , \ \sin^2\theta_W = \frac{{g_Y}^2}{g_w^2 + {g_Y}^2} = \frac{3}{8} \ . \tag{2.17}$$

The content $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}, \Psi_{\mathbf{11}}, \Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}$ is determined with the EM charge given by (2.14). In the spinorial representation

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\rm EM} &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{6}\sigma^0 \otimes \Big\{ \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 + \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^0 + \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^3 \Big\} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

We tabulate the content of $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$ in Table 1. We note that zero modes appear only for particles with the same quantum numbers as quarks and leptons in the SM, but not for anything else.

$\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$ decomposes into an $SO(10)$ vector and a singlet. The former further decomposes into an $SO(4)$ vector ψ_j $(j = 1 \sim 4)$ and an $SO(6)$ vector ψ_j $(j = 5 \sim 10)$. An $SO(4)$ vector ψ_j $(j = 1 \sim 4)$ transforms as $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$ of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$. Under $\Omega_L \in SU(2)_L$ and $\Omega_R \in SU(2)_R$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\psi} \to \Omega_L \hat{\psi}\, \Omega_R^\dagger \ , \\ &\hat{\psi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_4 + i\vec{\psi}\vec{\sigma}) \cdot i\sigma_2 \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2 + i\psi_1 & -\psi_4 - i\psi_3 \\ \psi_4 - i\psi_3 & \psi_2 - i\psi_1 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E} & N \\ \hat{N} & E \end{pmatrix} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

An $SO(6)$ vector ψ_j $(j = 5 \sim 10)$ decomposes into two parts;

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_j \\ \hat{D}_j \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_{3+2j} \mp i\psi_{4+2j}) \quad (j = 1, 2, 3). \tag{2.20}$$

With this notation the contents of $\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$ and $\Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}$ in (2.8) are summarized in Table 2. Notice that $\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$ and $\Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}$ have no components carrying the quantum numbers of u quark. With the boundary conditions (2.8), only $(D_{jR}, \hat{D}_{jR})$ and $(D'_{jL}, \hat{D}'_{jL})$ have zero modes. If the boundary conditions (2.9) were adopted, then $(N_R, E_R, \hat{E}_R, \hat{N}_R, S_L)$ and $(N'_L, E'_L, \hat{E}'_L, \hat{N}'_L, S'_R)$ would have zero modes.

2.2 Brane interactions

In addition to (2.3) and (2.10), there appear brane mass-Yukawa interactions among $\Psi^a_{\mathbf{32}}, \Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}}, \Psi'^a_{\mathbf{11}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}$ on the Planck brane at $y = 0$. On the Planck brane the $SO(10)$

$\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$

$SO(10)$	$SU(5)_Z$	G_{227}	$SO(6)$	G_{SM}	$Q_{\rm EM}$	name	zero mode	parity (left)
16	$\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{-3}$ $\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{-3}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{8})$	$\mathbf{4}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})^{-1/2}_{-1/2}$	0 -1	ν e	ν_L e_L	$(+,+)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{-3}$ $\mathbf{10}_{+1}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{8})$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})^{+1/3}_{-2/3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $-\frac{2}{3}$	$\hat{d}_1$ $\hat{u}_1$		$(+,-)$
	$\mathbf{10}_{+1}$ $\mathbf{10}_{+1}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{8})$	$\mathbf{4}$	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})^{+1/6}_{+1/6}$	$\frac{2}{3}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$	u_3 d_3	u_{3L} d_{3L}	$(+,+)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{-3}$ $\mathbf{10}_{+1}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{8})$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})^{+1/3}_{-2/3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $-\frac{2}{3}$	$\hat{d}_2$ $\hat{u}_2$		$(+,-)$
	$\mathbf{10}_{+1}$ $\mathbf{10}_{+1}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{8})$	$\mathbf{4}$	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})^{+1/6}_{+1/6}$	$\frac{2}{3}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$	u_1 d_1	u_{1L} d_{1L}	$(+,+)$
	$\mathbf{10}_{+1}$ $\mathbf{1}_{+5}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{8})$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})^{+1}_{0}$	1 0	$\hat{e}$ $\hat{\nu}$		$(+,-)$
	$\mathbf{10}_{+1}$ $\mathbf{10}_{+1}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{8})$	$\mathbf{4}$	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})^{+1/6}_{+1/6}$	$\frac{2}{3}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$	u_2 d_2	u_{2L} d_{2L}	$(+,+)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{-3}$ $\mathbf{10}_{+1}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{8})$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})^{+1/3}_{-2/3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $-\frac{2}{3}$	$\hat{d}_3$ $\hat{u}_3$		$(+,-)$
$\overline{\mathbf{16}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$ $\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{8})$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})^{-1/6}_{-1/6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $-\frac{2}{3}$	$\hat{d}'_3$ $\hat{u}'_3$		$(-,+)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$ $\mathbf{5}_{+3}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{8})$	$\mathbf{4}$	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})^{+2/3}_{-1/3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$	u'_2 d'_2	u_{2R} d_{2R}	$(-,-)$
	$\mathbf{5}_{+3}$ $\mathbf{5}_{+3}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{8})$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})^{+1/2}_{+1/2}$	1 0	$\hat{e}'$ $\hat{\nu}'$		$(-,+)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$ $\mathbf{5}_{+3}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{8})$	$\mathbf{4}$	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})^{+2/3}_{-1/3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$	u'_1 d'_1	u_{1R} d_{1R}	$(-,-)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$ $\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{8})$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})^{-1/6}_{-1/6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $-\frac{2}{3}$	$\hat{d}'_2$ $\hat{u}'_2$		$(-,+)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$ $\mathbf{5}_{+3}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{8})$	$\mathbf{4}$	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})^{+2/3}_{-1/3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$	u'_3 d'_3	u_{3R} d_{3R}	$(-,-)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$ $\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{8})$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})^{-1/6}_{-1/6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $-\frac{2}{3}$	$\hat{d}'_1$ $\hat{u}'_1$		$(-,+)$
	$\mathbf{1}_{-5}$ $\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{8})$	$\mathbf{4}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})^{0}_{-1}$	0 -1	ν' e'	ν_R e_R	$(-,-)$

Table 1: The content of $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$ is tabulated. In the second and third columns $SU(5)_Z = SU(5)\times U(1)_Z$ and $G_{227} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SO(7)$ are shown. In the fourth column $SO(6)$ in $G_{PS} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SO(6)$ is shown. In the fifth column $G_{SM} = SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. Superscripts and subscripts indicate $U(1)_Y$ charges. In the last column, parity at $y = 0$ and L is given for left-handed components. The right-handed components have opposite parity.

$\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$

$SO(10)$	$SU(5)_Z$	G_{PS}	G_{SM}	$Q_{\rm EM}$	name	zero mode	parity (left)
10	$\mathbf{5}_{+2}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{+1/2}$	$+1$ 0	$\hat{E}$ $\hat{N}$		$(-,+)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{-2}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-1/2}$	0 -1	N E		$(-,+)$
	$\mathbf{5}_{+2}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{6})$	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-1/3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	D_j	D_{jR}	$(-,-)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{-2}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{6})$	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{+1/3}$	$+\frac{1}{3}$	$\hat{D}_j$	$\hat{D}_{jR}$	$(-,-)$
1	$\mathbf{1}_0$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	0	S		$(+,-)$

$\Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}$

$SO(10)$	$SU(5)_Z$	G_{PS}	G_{SM}	$Q_{\rm EM}$	name	zero mode	parity (left)
10	$\mathbf{5}_{+2}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{+1/2}$	$+1$ 0	$\hat{E}'$ $\hat{N}'$		$(+,-)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{-2}$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-1/2}$	0 -1	N' E'		$(+,-)$
	$\mathbf{5}_{+2}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{6})$	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-1/3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	D'_j	D'_{jL}	$(+,+)$
	$\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{-2}$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{6})$	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{+1/3}$	$+\frac{1}{3}$	$\hat{D}'_j$	$\hat{D}'_{jL}$	$(+,+)$
1	$\mathbf{1}_0$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	0	S'		$(-,+)$

Table 2: The contents of $\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$ and $\Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}$ with the boundary conditions (2.8) are tabulated. The same notation is adopted as in Table 1.

local gauge invariance must be manifestly preserved. $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$ ($\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$) field decomposes to $\Psi_{\mathbf{16}}$ and $\Psi_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}}$ ($\Psi_{\mathbf{10}}$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{1}}$), as indicated in Table 1 (Table 2), under $SO(10)$ transformations. Only fields of even parity at $y = 0$ participate in the brane mass-Yukawa interactions. We need to write down $SO(10)$ invariant terms in terms of $\Psi^a_{\mathbf{16}L}$, $\Psi^a_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}R}$, $\Psi^a_{\mathbf{10}R}$, $\Psi^a_{\mathbf{1}L}$, $\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{10}L}$, $\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{1}R}$, and $\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}$. Further we impose the condition that the action be invariant under a global $U(1)$ Ψ fermion number (N_Ψ) transformation

$$\Psi^a_{\mathbf{32}} \to e^{i\alpha}\Psi^a_{\mathbf{32}} \ , \quad \Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}} \to e^{i\alpha}\Psi^a_{\mathbf{11}} \ , \quad \Psi'^a_{\mathbf{11}} \to e^{i\alpha}\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{11}} \ . \tag{2.21}$$

Six types of brane interactions are allowed.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\rm brane} &= \int d^5x\sqrt{-\det G}\,\delta(y)\Big(\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_5 + \mathcal{L}_6\Big) \ , \\
\mathcal{L}_1 &= -\Big\{\kappa^{ab}_{[1,16]}\overline{\Psi}'^a_{\mathbf{1}R}\,\Phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{16}}\,\Psi^b_{\mathbf{16}L} + \kappa^{ab}_{[1,16]}{}^*\,\overline{\Psi}^b_{\mathbf{16}L}\,\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}\,\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{1}R}\Big\}, \\
\mathcal{L}_2 &= -\Big\{\kappa^{ab}_{[1,\overline{16}]}\overline{\Psi}^a_{\mathbf{1}L}\,\tilde{\Phi}^\dagger_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}}\,\Psi^b_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}R} + \kappa^{ab}_{[1,\overline{16}]}{}^*\,\overline{\Psi}^b_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}R}\,\tilde{\Phi}_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}}\,\Psi^a_{\mathbf{1}L}\Big\}, \\
\mathcal{L}_3 &= -\Big\{\kappa^{ab}_{[10,16]}(\overline{\Psi}^a_{\mathbf{10}R})_j\,\hat{\tilde{\Phi}}^\dagger_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}}\,\Gamma^j\,\hat{\Psi}^b_{\mathbf{16}L} + \kappa^{ab}_{[10,16]}{}^*\,\overline{\hat{\Psi}}^b_{\mathbf{16}L}\,\Gamma^j\,\hat{\tilde{\Phi}}_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}}\,(\Psi^a_{\mathbf{10}R})_j\Big\}, \\
\mathcal{L}_4 &= -\Big\{\kappa^{ab}_{[10,\overline{16}]}(\overline{\Psi}'^a_{\mathbf{10}L})_j\,\hat{\Phi}^\dagger_{\mathbf{16}}\,\Gamma^j\,\hat{\Psi}^b_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}R} + \kappa^{ab}_{[10,\overline{16}]}{}^*\,\overline{\hat{\Psi}}^b_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}R}\,\Gamma^j\,\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{16}}\,(\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{10}L})_j\Big\}, \\
\mathcal{L}_5 &= -\Big\{\mu^{ab}_{[1,1]}\overline{\Psi}'^a_{\mathbf{1}R}\,\Psi^b_{\mathbf{1}L} + \mu^{ab}_{[1,1]}{}^*\,\overline{\Psi}^b_{\mathbf{1}L}\,\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{1}R}\Big\}, \\
\mathcal{L}_6 &= -\Big\{\mu^{ab}_{[10,10]}\overline{\Psi}'^a_{\mathbf{10}L}\,\Psi^b_{\mathbf{10}R} + \mu^{ab}_{[10,10]}{}^*\,\overline{\Psi}^b_{\mathbf{10}R}\,\Psi'^a_{\mathbf{10}L}\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Here $\tilde{\Phi}_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}} = \hat{R}\,\Phi^*_{\mathbf{16}}$ with $\hat{R}$ defined in (A.7) transforms as $\overline{\mathbf{16}}$, and we have employed 32-component notation given by

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{16}} = \begin{pmatrix}\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}\\ 0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\tilde{\Phi}}_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\ \tilde{\Phi}_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}}\end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Psi}_{\mathbf{16}} = \begin{pmatrix}\Psi_{\mathbf{16}}\\ 0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Psi}_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\ \Psi_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.23}$$

In general all coefficients κ and μ in (2.22) have matrix structure in the generation space, which induces flavor mixing. In the present paper we restrict ourselves to diagonal κ and μ.

The total action is given by

$$S = S_{\rm bulk} + S_{\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}} + S_{\rm brane} \ . \tag{2.24}$$

2.3 EW Higgs boson

The orbifold boundary condition (2.5) reduces the $SO(11)$ gauge symmetry to $SO(4) \times SO(6) \simeq SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SO(6)$. It is easy to see that terms bilinear in fields in the

G_{PS}	A_μ	A_z
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$(+,+)$	$(-,-)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	$(+,+)$	$(-,-)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{15})$	$(+,+)$	$(-,-)$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{6})$	$(+,-)$	$(-,+)$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$(-,-)$	$(+,+)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{6})$	$(-,+)$	$(+,-)$

Table 3: Parity of A_μ and A_z is classified with the content in $G_{PS} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SO(6)$.

gauge field part of the action $S_{\rm bulk}$, (2.3), become

$$\int d^4x \frac{dz}{kz} \sum_{j<k} \Big[\frac{1}{2} A_\mu^{(jk)} \Big\{ \eta^{\mu\nu} \big(\Box + k^2 \mathcal{P}_4 \big) - \big(1 - \frac{1}{\xi} \big) \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \Big\} A_\nu^{(jk)} + \frac{1}{2} k^2 A_z^{(jk)} \big(\Box + \xi k^2 \mathcal{P}_z \big) A_z^{(jk)} + \bar{c}^{(jk)} \big(\Box + \xi k^2 \mathcal{P}_4 \big) c^{(jk)} \Big],$$

$$\Box \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \ , \quad \partial^\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \ , \quad \mathcal{P}_4 \equiv z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ , \quad \mathcal{P}_z \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{z} \ . \tag{2.25}$$

For four-dimensional components A_μ of $SO(10)$ gauge fields have additional bilinear terms coming from the brane scalar interaction $S_{\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}}$, (2.10), with $\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{16}} \rangle \neq 0$. Parity of A_μ and A_z is summarized in Table 3. For A_z, only four components $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$ in $G_{PS} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SO(6)$ have parity $(+,+)$, and therefore zero modes corresponding to the Higgs doublet in the SM. For A_μ, components $(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$, $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$, and $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{15})$, corresponding to $SU(2)_L$, $SU(2)_R$, and $SO(6)$, respectively, have parity $(+,+)$. $SU(2)_R \times SO(6)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken to $SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Y$ by $\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{16}} \rangle \neq 0$, reducing to the SM gauge symmetry.

Mode functions of A_z in the fifth dimension are determined by

$$\mathcal{P}_z h_n(z) = -\lambda_n^2 h_n(z) \ , \quad \int_1^{z_L} \frac{kdz}{z} \, h_n(z) \, h_\ell(z) = \delta_{n\ell} \ , \tag{2.26}$$

where boundary conditions at $z = 1$ and z_L are given by $(d/dz)(h_n/z) = 0$ or $h_n = 0$ for parity even or odd fields, respectively. In particular, the zero mode ($\lambda_0 = 0$) function is given by

$$h_0^{(++)}(z) = u_H(z) = \frac{1}{kz} \tilde{u}_H(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k(z_L^2 - 1)}} \ z \tag{2.27}$$

for $1 \leq z \leq z_L$ ($0 \leq y \leq L$). The mode function in the y-coordinate satisfies $\tilde{u}_H(-y) = \tilde{u}_H(y) = \tilde{u}_H(y + 2L)$. We note that

$$\int_0^L dy \, \tilde{u}_H(y) = \int_1^{z_L} dz \, u_H(z) = \sqrt{\frac{z_L^2 - 1}{2k}} \ . \tag{2.28}$$

The zero modes of A_z are physical degrees of freedom, being unable to be gauged away. They are in $A_z^{a\,11}(x,z)$ $(a = 1 \sim 4)$. In terms of mode functions $\{h_n^{(++)}(z)\}$ for parity $(+,+)$ boundary condition,

$$A_z^{a\,11}(x,z) = \phi_H^a(x) u_H(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_H^{a(n)}(x) h_n^{(++)}(z) \quad (a = 1 \sim 4), \tag{2.29}$$

where the four-component real field, $\phi_H^a(x)$, plays the role of the EW Higgs doublet field in the SM. It will be shown that $\phi_H \equiv \phi_H^4$ dynamically develops vev $\langle \phi_H \rangle \neq 0$, breaking the SM symmetry to $U(1)_{\rm EM}$. $\phi_H^{1,2,3}$ are absorbed by W and Z bosons.

2.4 AB phase θ_H

Under a general gauge transformation $A'_M = \Omega A_M \Omega^{-1} + (i/g)\Omega \partial_M \Omega^{-1}$ new gauge potentials satisfy new boundary conditions

$$\begin{pmatrix} A'_\mu \\ A'_y \end{pmatrix}(x, y_j - y) = P'_j \begin{pmatrix} A_\mu \\ -A_y \end{pmatrix}(x, y_j + y) P'^{-1}_j + \frac{i}{g} P'_j \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\mu \\ -\partial_y \end{pmatrix} P'^{-1}_j \ ,$$
$$P'_j = \Omega(x, y_j - y) P_j \Omega(x, y_j + y)^{-1} \ . \tag{2.30}$$

The original boundary conditions (2.5) are maintained if and only if $P'_j = P_j$. Such a class of gauge transformations define the residual gauge invariance.[2, 59]

There are a class of "large" gauge transformations which transform A_y nontrivially. Consider

$$\Omega(y;\alpha) = \exp\left\{ -i \frac{g\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \int_y^L dy\, \tilde{u}_H(y)\, T_{4,11} \right\} . \tag{2.31}$$

For $A_y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A_y^{(4,11)}(x,y) T_{4,11}$,

$$A'_y = A_y + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}\, \tilde{u}_H(y)\, T_{4,11} \ \ ,$$
$$\phi_H(x) \to \phi'_H(x) = \phi_H(x) + \alpha \ . \tag{2.32}$$

The new boundary condition matrices P'_j are evaluated, with the aid of $\{P_j, T_{4,11}\} = 0$, to be $P'_j = \Omega(y_j - y;\alpha)\Omega(y_j + y;\alpha) P_j$, that is,

$$P'_0 = \Omega(-y;\alpha)\Omega(y;\alpha) P_0 = \Omega(0;2\alpha) P_0 \ ,$$
$$P'_1 = \Omega(L - y;\alpha)\Omega(L + y;\alpha) P_1 = P_1 \ . \tag{2.33}$$

The boundary conditions are preserved provided $\Omega(0;2\alpha) = 1$. As $(T_{4,11}^{\rm sp})^2 = \frac{1}{4}\, I_{32}$ in the spinorial representation, the boundary conditions are preserved provided

$$\frac{g\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^L dy\, \tilde{u}_H(y) = \frac{g\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{z_L^2 - 1}{2k}} = 2\pi n \quad (n = \text{an integer}) \ . \tag{2.34}$$

Aharonov-Bohm (AB) phases along the fifth dimension are defined by phases of eigenvalues of

$$\hat{W} = P \exp\left\{ ig \int_{-L}^{L} dy\, A_y \right\} \cdot P_1 P_0 \ . \tag{2.35}$$

They are gauge-invariant. $A_y^{4,11}(x,-y) = A_y^{4,11}(x,y)$ and the orthonormality relation (2.26) implies that $\int_1^{z_L} dz\, h_n^{(++)}(z) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$. Hence for $A_y = (1/\sqrt{2}) A_y^{4,11} T_{4,11}$

$$\hat{W} = \exp\left\{ i \frac{g\phi_H}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^L dy\, \tilde{u}_H(y)\, 2\, T_{4,11} \right\} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I_4 & & \\ & -I_6 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.36}$$

in the vectorial representation so that the relevant phase $\hat{\theta}_H(x)$ is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_H(x) = \frac{g\phi_H(x)}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^L dy\, \tilde{u}_H(y) = \frac{\phi_H(x)}{f_H} \ ,$$

$$f_H = \frac{2}{g}\sqrt{\frac{k}{z_L^2 - 1}} = \frac{2}{g_w}\sqrt{\frac{k}{L(z_L^2-1)}} \ . \tag{2.37}$$

Under a large gauge transformation satisfying (2.33),

$$\hat{\theta}_H(x) \to \hat{\theta}'_H(x) = \hat{\theta}_H(x) + 2\pi n \ . \tag{2.38}$$

Denoting $\theta_H = \langle \hat{\theta}_H(x) \rangle$, one has

$$A_z^{(4,11)}(x,z) = \left\{ \theta_H f_H + H(x) \right\} u_H(z) + \cdots \ . \tag{2.39}$$

$H(x)$ corresponds to the neutral Higgs boson found at LHC. The value of θ_H is undetermined at the tree level, but is determined dynamically at the one loop level. The effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ is evaluated in Section 5.

2.5 Twisted gauge

Under a gauge transformation (2.31) $\hat{\theta}_H(x)$ is transformed to $\hat{\theta}'_H(x) = \hat{\theta}_H(x) + (\alpha/f_H)$. In the new gauge given with $\alpha = -\theta_H f_H$,

$$\Omega(y) = \exp\left\{ i \frac{g\theta_H f_H}{\sqrt{2}} \int_y^L dy\, \tilde{u}_H(y) \cdot T_{4,11} \right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{ i\theta(z) T_{4,11} \right\} \ , \quad \theta(z) = \theta_H \frac{z_L^2 - z^2}{z_L^2 - 1} \quad \text{for } 1 \le z \le z_L \ , \tag{2.40}$$

$\langle \theta'_H(x) \rangle = 0$. Hereafter this new gauge is called the twisted gauge, and quantities in the twisted gauge are denoted with tildes.[7, 74] According to (2.33) the boundary conditions become

$$\tilde{P}_0 = \Omega(0)^2 P_0 = e^{2i\theta_H T_{4,11}} P_0 \ , \quad \tilde{P}_1 = P_1 \ . \tag{2.41}$$

In the vectorial representation

$$\tilde{P}_0^{\rm vec} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta_H & -\sin 2\theta_H \\ -\sin 2\theta_H & -\cos 2\theta_H \end{pmatrix} & \text{in the 4-11 subspace,} \\ I_9 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.42}$$

Components of $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$ split into two groups;

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ \nu' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} e \\ e' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u_j \\ u'_j \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} d_j \\ d'_j \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\nu} \\ \hat{\nu}' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e} \\ \hat{e}' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_j \\ \hat{u}'_j \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{d}_j \\ \hat{d}'_j \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.43}$$

The boundary condition matrix becomes

$$\tilde{P}_0^{\rm sp} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_H & \mp i \sin\theta_H \\ \pm i \sin\theta_H & -\cos\theta_H \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \begin{cases} \chi \\ \hat{\chi} \end{cases}. \tag{2.44}$$

It turns out very convenient to evaluate $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ in the twisted gauge.

2.6 Proton stability

In the present model of gauge-Higgs grand unification, the proton decay is forbidden. Fields of up quark quantum number, u and u', are contained only in $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$. Fields of down quark quantum number are in $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$ (d and d') and in $\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$ and $\Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}$ (D and D'), fields of electron quantum number are in $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$ (e and e') and in $\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$ and $\Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}$ (E and E'), and fields of neutrino quantum number are in $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$ (ν, $\hat{\nu}$, ν' and $\hat{\nu}'$) and in $\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$ and $\Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}$ (N, $\hat{N}$, S, N', $\hat{N}'$, and S'). The down quark at low energies, for instance, is a linear combination of d, d', D and D'. All quarks and leptons have $N_\Psi = 1$ fermion number. The total action preserves the $N_\Psi = 1$ fermion number. As the proton has $N_\Psi = 3$ and the positron has $N_\Psi = -1$, the proton decay $p \to \pi^0 e^+$, for instance, cannot occur. This is contrasted to $SU(5)$ or $SO(10)$ GUT in four dimensions in which proton decay inevitably takes place. The $SO(11)$ gauge-Higgs grand unification provides a natural framework of grand unification in which proton decay is forbidden.

One comment is in order. S and S' in $\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$ and $\Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}$ are $SO(10)$ singlets. One could introduce Majorana masses such as $\overline{S}S^c\delta(y)$ on the Planck brane, which break the N_Ψ fermion number. They would give rise to Majorana masses for neutrinos, and at the same time could induce proton decay at higher loops.



		No. of generators	A_μ $z:(1,z_L)$	A_z $z:(1,z_L)$
(1)	G_{SM}	12	(N,N)	(D,D)
(2)	$SU(5)/G_{SM}$	12	(N,D)	(D,N)
(3)	G_{PS}/G_{SM}	9	$(D_{\rm eff},N)$	(D,D)
(4)	$SO(10)/(SU(5)\cup G_{PS})$	12	$(D_{\rm eff},D)$	(D,N)
(5)	$SO(5)/SO(4)$	4	(D,D)	(N,N)
(6)	$SO(7)/SO(6)$	6	(D,N)	(N,D)

Table 4: The Venn diagram of gauge group structure is displayed in the top and boundary conditions in each category are summarized in the bottom table. Here $G_{SM} = SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$, $G_{PS} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SO(6)$, and $SU(5) \cap G_{PS} = G_{SM}$. N and D stand for Neumann and Dirichlet conditions, respectively. $D_{\rm eff}$ represents the effective Dirichlet condition explained in Section 3.1, (i), (ii), (v), (vii) and (viii).

3 Spectrum of gauge fields

$V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ at the one loop level is determined from the mass spectrum of all fields when $\langle\hat{\theta}_H(x)\rangle = \theta_H$. It is convenient to determine the spectrum in the twisted gauge in which $\langle\tilde{\hat{\theta}}_H(x)\rangle = 0$. The nontrivial θ_H dependence is transferred to the boundary conditions. In this section we determine the spectrum of gauge fields.

In the absence of the brane interactions with $\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}$ in $S_{\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}}$, (2.10), the boundary conditions for gauge fields are given by

$$\begin{cases} N: & \dfrac{\partial}{\partial z} A_\mu = 0 \quad \text{for parity} = + \\ D: & A_\mu = 0 \qquad\quad \text{for parity} = - \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} N: & \dfrac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big(\dfrac{1}{z} A_z\Big) = 0 \quad \text{for parity} = + \\ D: & A_z = 0 \qquad\quad\;\; \text{for parity} = - \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

at $z = 1$ ($y = 0$) and $z = z_L$ ($y = L$). In the presence of $S_{\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}}$, the brane mass terms (2.12) for A_μ are induced, and the Neumann boundary condition N is modified to an effective Dirichlet condition $D_{\rm eff}$ for low-lying KK modes of the twenty-one components of A_μ as described below. The boundary conditions for the gauge fields are summarized in Table 4.

In the twisted gauge $\tilde{A}_M = \Omega(z) A_M \Omega(z)^{-1} + \frac{i}{g}\Omega(z)\partial_M \Omega(z)^{-1}$ where $\Omega(z)$ is given by (2.40). One finds

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_M^{k4} &= \cos\theta(z)\tilde{A}_M^{k4} - \sin\theta(z)\tilde{A}_M^{k,11} \ , \quad (k \neq 4), \\
A_M^{k,11} &= \sin\theta(z)\tilde{A}_M^{k4} + \cos\theta(z)\tilde{A}_M^{k,11} \ , \\
A_z^{4,11} &= \tilde{A}_z^{4,11} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{g}\,\theta'(z) = \tilde{A}_z^{4,11} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{g}\,\theta_H\,\frac{z}{z_L^2 - 1} \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.2}
$$

All other components are unchanged. At $z = z_L$, $\theta(z_L) = 0$, and A_M^{k4} and $A_M^{k,11}$ always have opposite parity. It follows that $\tilde{A}_M$ satisfies the same boundary condition as A_M at $z = z_L$. In the bulk ($1 < z < z_L$) the bilinear part of the action is the same as in the free theory in the twisted gauge. Hence, depending on the BC at $z = z_L$, wave functions for $\tilde{A}_\mu$ and $\tilde{A}_z$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}_\mu \quad & N: \ C(z;\lambda) \ , \quad D: \ S(z;\lambda) \ , \\
\tilde{A}_z \quad & N: \ S'(z;\lambda) \ , \quad D: \ C'(z;\lambda) \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.3}
$$

where $C(z;\lambda)$ and $S(z;\lambda)$ are defined in Appendix B.

3.1 A_μ components

(i) $(\tilde{A}_\mu^{a_L}, \tilde{A}_\mu^{a_R}, \tilde{A}_\mu^{a,11})$ $(a = 1, 2)$: W and W_R towers

Original $A_\mu^{a_L}$, $A_\mu^{a_R}$, and $A_\mu^{a,11}$ have parity $(+,+)$, $(+,+)$, and $(-,-)$, respectively. $A_\mu^{a_R}$ picks up a brane mass. To find its boundary condition at $z = 1$, we need recall the equation of motion. $\mathcal{L}^{\text{gauge}}_{\text{brane mass}}$ in (2.12) yields $-(g^2w^2/4)\delta(y)(A_\mu^{a_R})^2$. The equation of motion for $A_\mu^{a_R}$ in the y-coordinate is

$$
\left\{\eta^{\mu\nu}\Big(\Box + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}e^{-2\sigma(y)}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{g^2w^2}{2}\delta(y)\Big) - \Big(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\Big)\partial^\mu\partial^\nu\right\} A_\nu^{a_R} = \cdots \ , \tag{3.4}
$$

where interaction terms on the right side involve neither total y derivative nor $\delta(y)$. By integrating the equation $\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} dy \cdots$ and taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, one finds that $\partial A_\mu^{a_R}/\partial y|_{y=\epsilon} = (g^2w^2/4)A_\mu^{a_R}|_{y=0}$. The brane mass gives rise to cusp behavior at $y = 0$. The boundary conditions for $(A_\mu^{a_L}, A_\mu^{a_R}, A_\mu^{a,11})$ $(a = 1, 2)$ at $z = 1$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial z}A_\mu^{a_L} = 0 \ , \\
&\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \omega\Big)A_\mu^{a_R} = 0 \ , \quad \omega = \frac{g^2w^2}{4k} \ , \\
&A_\mu^{a,11} = 0 \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.5}
$$

Here it is understood that $\partial A_\mu^{a_R}/\partial z$ is evaluated at $z = 1^+$.

Expressed in terms of fields in the twisted gauge, (3.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_z \tilde{A}_\mu^{23} + \partial_z \tilde{A}_\mu^{14} \cos\theta_H - \partial_z \tilde{A}_\mu^{1,11} \sin\theta_H = 0 \ , \\
&(\partial_z - \omega)\tilde{A}_\mu^{23} - (\partial_z - \omega)\tilde{A}_\mu^{14} \cos\theta_H + (\partial_z - \omega)\tilde{A}_\mu^{1,11} \sin\theta_H = 0 \ , \\
&\tilde{A}_\mu^{14} \sin\theta_H + \tilde{A}_\mu^{1,11} \cos\theta_H = 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From the boundary conditions at z_L, one can set

$$[\tilde{A}_\mu^{23}, \tilde{A}_\mu^{14}, \tilde{A}_\mu^{1,11}] = [\alpha_{23} C(z;\lambda), \alpha_{14} C(z;\lambda), \alpha_{1,11} S(z;\lambda)] a_\mu(x)$$

for each mode. The boundary conditions (3.6) are transformed to

$$\begin{aligned}
&K \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{23} \\ \alpha_{14} \\ \alpha_{1,11} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ , \\
&K = \begin{pmatrix} C' & \cos\theta_H C' & -\sin\theta_H S' \\ C' - \omega C & -\cos\theta_H (C' - \omega C) & \sin\theta_H (S' - \omega S) \\ 0 & \sin\theta_H C & \cos\theta_H S \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $C' = C'(1;\lambda)$ etc.. The spectrum $\{\lambda_n\}$ is determined by $\det K = 0$, or by

$$2C'(SC' + \lambda \sin^2\theta_H) - \omega C(2SC' + \lambda \sin^2\theta_H) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

For sufficiently large w, the spectrum $\{m_n = k\lambda_n\}$ of low-lying KK modes is approximately determined by the second term in (3.8). This approximation for $z_L = 10^5$, for instance, is justified for $\omega > 10^{-3}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
W \text{ tower:} \quad & 2S(1;\lambda)C'(1;\lambda) + \lambda \sin^2\theta_H = 0 \ , \\
W_R \text{ tower:} \quad & C(1;\lambda) = 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Asymptotically the equations determining the spectra of W and W_R towers become $SC' + \lambda \sin^2\theta_H = 0$ and $C' = 0$, respectively. The mass of W boson, $m_W = m_{W^{(0)}}$, is given by

$$m_W \sim \sqrt{\frac{k}{L}}\, z_L^{-1} \sin\theta_H = \frac{\sin\theta_H}{\pi\sqrt{kL}}\ m_{\rm KK} \ . \tag{3.10}$$

(ii) $(\tilde{A}_\mu^{3_L}, \tilde{C}_\mu, \tilde{B}_\mu^Y, \tilde{A}_\mu^{3,11})$: γ, Z and Z_R towers

Here $C_\mu = \sqrt{1/5}(A_\mu^{12} - A_\mu^{34} + A_\mu^{56} + A_\mu^{78} + A_\mu^{9\,10}) = \sqrt{2/5} A_\mu^{3_R} + \sqrt{3/5} A_\mu^{0_C}$. Original $A_\mu^{3_L}$, C_μ, B_μ^Y, and $A_\mu^{3,11}$ have parity $(+,+)$, $(+,+)$, $(+,+)$, and $(-,-)$, respectively. C_μ picks up a brane mass $-\delta(y)(5g^2w^2/8)C_\mu^2$ so that boundary conditions at $z = 1$ are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_\mu^{3_L} = 0 \ ,$$

$$\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{5}{2}\omega\Big)C_\mu = 0 \ ,$$
$$A_\mu^{3,11} = 0 \ ,$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}B_\mu^Y = 0 \ . \tag{3.11}$$

In the twisted gauge they become

$$\partial_z\tilde{A}_\mu^{12} + \partial_z\tilde{A}_\mu^{34}\cos\theta_H - \partial_z\tilde{A}_\mu^{3,11}\sin\theta_H = 0 \ ,$$
$$(\partial_z - \tfrac{5}{2}\omega)\Big\{\tilde{A}_\mu^{12} - \tilde{A}_\mu^{34}\cos\theta_H + \tilde{A}_\mu^{3,11}\sin\theta_H + \sqrt{3}\tilde{A}_\mu^{0_C}\Big\} = 0 \ ,$$
$$\tilde{A}_\mu^{34}\sin\theta_H + \tilde{A}_\mu^{3,11}\cos\theta_H = 0 \ ,$$
$$\partial_z\tilde{A}_\mu^{12} - \partial_z\tilde{A}_\mu^{34}\cos\theta_H + \partial_z\tilde{A}_\mu^{3,11}\sin\theta_H - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\partial_z\tilde{A}_\mu^{0_C} = 0 \ . \tag{3.12}$$

Adding the first and second equations, one gets

$$(2\partial_z - \tfrac{5}{2}\omega)\tilde{A}_\mu^{12} + \tfrac{5}{2}\omega(\tilde{A}_\mu^{34}\cos\theta_H - \tilde{A}_\mu^{3,11}\sin\theta_H) + \sqrt{3}(\partial_z - \tfrac{5}{2}\omega)\tilde{A}_\mu^{0_C} = 0 \ .$$

Adding the first and fourth equations, one gets

$$\partial_z\tilde{A}_\mu^{12} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\partial_z\tilde{A}_\mu^{0_C} = 0 \ .$$

Writing $[\tilde{A}_\mu^{12}, \tilde{A}_\mu^{34}, \tilde{A}_\mu^{3,11}, \tilde{A}_\mu^{0_C}] = [\alpha_{12}C(z), \alpha_{34}C(z), \alpha_{3,11}S(z), \alpha_{0_C}C(z)]a_\mu(x)$, one finds that

$$K\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_{12}\\ \alpha_{34}\\ \alpha_{3,11}\\ \alpha_{0_C}\end{pmatrix} = 0 \ .$$
$$K = \begin{pmatrix} C' & \cos\theta_H C' & -\sin\theta_H S' & 0 \\ \frac{4}{5}C' - \omega C & \omega\cos\theta_H C & -\omega\sin\theta_H S & \sqrt{3}\,(\frac{2}{5}C' - \omega C) \\ 0 & \sin\theta_H C & \cos\theta_H S & 0 \\ C' & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}C' \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.13}$$

The spectrum is determined by $\det K = 0$;

$$C'\big\{2C'(SC' + \lambda\sin^2\theta_H) - \omega C(5SC' + 4\lambda\sin^2\theta_H)\big\} = 0 \ . \tag{3.14}$$

For sufficiently large w, the spectrum of low-lying KK modes is approximately determined by the second term in (3.14). One finds that

$$\gamma \text{ tower:} \quad C'(1;\lambda) = 0 \ ,$$
$$Z \text{ tower:} \quad 5S(1;\lambda)C'(1;\lambda) + 4\lambda\sin^2\theta_H = 0 \ ,$$

$$Z_R \text{ tower:} \quad C(1;\lambda) = 0 \ . \tag{3.15}$$

The mass of Z boson, $m_Z = m_{Z^{(0)}}$, is given by

$$m_Z \sim \sqrt{\frac{8k}{5L}}\, z_L^{-1} \sin\theta_H = \frac{m_W}{\cos\theta_W} \ , \quad \sin^2\theta_W = \frac{3}{8} \ . \tag{3.16}$$

(iii) $\tilde{A}_\mu^{4,11}$: $\hat{A}^4$ tower

$A_\mu^{4,11}$ obeys (D,D) and there is no zero mode. Its spectrum is determined by

$$\hat{A}^4 \text{ tower:} \quad S(1;\lambda) = 0 \ . \tag{3.17}$$

(iv) $SU(3)_C$ gluons

The boundary condition is (N,N) so that

$$\text{gluon tower:} \quad C'(1;\lambda) = 0 \ . \tag{3.18}$$

(v) X-gluons

These are six components given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^{57} - A_\mu^{68} \\ A_\mu^{58} + A_\mu^{67} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^{59} - A_\mu^{6\,10} \\ A_\mu^{5\,10} + A_\mu^{69} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^{79} - A_\mu^{8\,10} \\ A_\mu^{7\,10} + A_\mu^{89} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.19}$$

which originally obey (N,N) boundary conditions. They have brane masses of the form $-\delta(y)(g^2w^2/4)A_\mu^2$ in (2.12) so that boundary conditions at $z=1$ become $(\partial_z - \omega)A_\mu = 0$. Consequently the spectrum is determined by $C' - \omega C = 0$. For the low-lying KK modes

$$\text{X-gluon tower:} \quad C(1;\lambda) = 0 \ . \tag{3.20}$$

(vi) X-bosons

These are six components given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^{15} + A_\mu^{26} \\ A_\mu^{16} - A_\mu^{25} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^{17} + A_\mu^{28} \\ A_\mu^{18} - A_\mu^{27} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^{19} + A_\mu^{2\,10} \\ A_\mu^{1\,10} - A_\mu^{29} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.21}$$

which originally obey (N,D) boundary conditions. There is no brane mass, and the spectrum is determined by

$$X\text{-boson tower:} \quad S'(1;\lambda) = 0 \ . \tag{3.22}$$

(vii) X'-bosons

These are six components given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^{15} - A_\mu^{26} \\ A_\mu^{16} + A_\mu^{25} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^{17} - A_\mu^{28} \\ A_\mu^{18} + A_\mu^{27} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^{19} - A_\mu^{2\,10} \\ A_\mu^{1\,10} + A_\mu^{29} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.23}$$

which originally obey (N,D) boundary conditions. They have brane masses of the form $-\delta(y)(g^2w^2/4)A_\mu^2$ in (2.12) so that boundary conditions at $z=1$ become $(\partial_z-\omega)A_\mu=0$. Consequently the spectrum is determined by $S'-\omega S=0$. For the low-lying KK modes

$$X'\text{-boson tower:}\quad S(1;\lambda)=0\ . \tag{3.24}$$

(viii) Y, Y'-bosons

There are three classes;

$$\begin{aligned}
Y:&\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}A_\mu^{35}-A_\mu^{46}\\ A_\mu^{36}+A_\mu^{45}\end{pmatrix},\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}A_\mu^{37}-A_\mu^{48}\\ A_\mu^{38}+A_\mu^{47}\end{pmatrix},\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}A_\mu^{39}-A_\mu^{4\,10}\\ A_\mu^{3\,10}+A_\mu^{49}\end{pmatrix},\\
Y':&\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}A_\mu^{35}+A_\mu^{46}\\ A_\mu^{36}-A_\mu^{45}\end{pmatrix},\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}A_\mu^{37}+A_\mu^{48}\\ A_\mu^{38}-A_\mu^{47}\end{pmatrix},\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}A_\mu^{39}+A_\mu^{4\,10}\\ A_\mu^{3\,10}-A_\mu^{49}\end{pmatrix},\\
\hat{Y}:&\quad A_\mu^{b\,11}\quad (b=5\sim 10)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Original fields in Y, Y', and $\hat{Y}$ satisfy (N,D), (N,D), and (D,N) boundary conditions. A_μ^{4b} and $A_\mu^{b\,11}$ $(b=5\sim 10)$ mix with each other by $\theta_H\neq 0$. Fields in Y' have brane masses of the form $-\delta(y)(g^2w^2/4)A_\mu^2$ in (2.12). Boundary conditions at $z=1$ for $(A_\mu^{35},A_\mu^{46},A_\mu^{6\,11})$, for instance, are

$$\partial_z(A_\mu^{35}-A_\mu^{46})=0\ ,\quad (\partial_z-\omega)(A_\mu^{35}+A_\mu^{46})=0\ ,\quad A_\mu^{6\,11}=0\ . \tag{3.26}$$

Wring $[\tilde{A}_\mu^{35},\tilde{A}_\mu^{46},\tilde{A}_\mu^{11,6}]=[\alpha_{35}S(z;\lambda),\alpha_{46}S(z;\lambda),\alpha_{11,6}C(z;\lambda)]a_\mu(x)$, one finds that

$$\begin{pmatrix} S' & -\cos\theta_H S' & \sin\theta_H C' \\ S'-\omega S & \cos\theta_H(S'-\omega S) & -\sin\theta_H(C'-\omega C)\\ 0 & \sin\theta_H S & \cos\theta_H C\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_{35}\\ \alpha_{46}\\ \alpha_{11,6}\end{pmatrix}=0\ . \tag{3.27}$$

The spectrum is determined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&2S'(CS'-\lambda\sin^2\theta_H)-\omega S(2CS'-\lambda\sin^2\theta_H)\\
&=2S'(SC'+\lambda\cos^2\theta_H)-\omega S\big\{2SC'+\lambda(1+\cos^2\theta_H)\big\}=0\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

For the low-lying modes one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y\text{ boson tower:}\quad 2C(1;\lambda)S'(1;\lambda)-\lambda\sin^2\theta_H=0\ ,\\
&Y'\text{ boson tower:}\quad S(1;\lambda)=0\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

3.2 A_z components

Similarly one can find the spectrum for A_z. The evaluation is simpler as A_z does not couple to the brane scalar field $\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}$.

(i) A_z^{ab} $(1 \le a < b \le 3)$ and A_z^{jk} $(5 \le j < k \le 10)$:

These components satisfy boundary conditions are (D, D) so that

$$C'(1;\lambda) = 0 \ . \tag{3.30}$$

(ii) $A_z^{a4}, A_z^{a\,11}$ $(a = 1 \sim 3)$:

Boundary conditions of $(A_z^{a4}, A_z^{a\,11})$ are (D, D) and (N, N), respectively. A_z^{a4} and $A_z^{a\,11}$ mix with each other by θ_H. Writing $[\tilde{A}_z^{a4}, \tilde{A}_z^{a11}] = [\beta_{a4} C'(z;\lambda), \beta_{a11} S'(z;\lambda)] a_z(x)$, one finds that at $z = 1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_H C' & -\sin\theta_H S' \\ \sin\theta_H C & \cos\theta_H S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{a4} \\ \beta_{a11} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ . \tag{3.31}$$

The spectrum is determined by

$$S(1;\lambda) C'(1;\lambda) + \lambda \sin^2\theta_H = 0 \ . \tag{3.32}$$

(iii) $A_z^{4,11}$: Higgs tower

It obeys (N, N) boundary conditions so that

$$\text{Higgs tower:} \quad S(1;\lambda) = 0 \ . \tag{3.33}$$

There always is a zero mode, which will acquire a mass at the 1-loop level.

(iv) $A_z^{ak} (a = 1 \sim 3, k = 5 \sim 10)$:

These components obey (D, N) boundary conditions so that

$$S'(1;\lambda) = 0 \ . \tag{3.34}$$

(v) A_z^{k4}, A_z^{k11} $(k = 5 \sim 10)$:

A_z^{k4} and A_z^{k11} satisfy (D, N) and (N, D) boundary conditions, respectively. Writing $[\tilde{A}_z^{k4}, \tilde{A}_z^{k11}] = [\beta_{a4} S'(z;\lambda), \beta_{a\,11} C'(z;\lambda)] a_z(x)$, one finds that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_H S' & -\sin\theta_H C' \\ \sin\theta_H S & \cos\theta_H C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{k4} \\ \beta_{k11} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ . \tag{3.35}$$

The spectrum is determined by

$$S(1;\lambda) C'(1;\lambda) + \lambda \cos^2\theta_H = 0 \ . \tag{3.36}$$

4 Spectrum of fermion fields

We take Dirac matrices γ^A in the spinor representation in (2.3).

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & \\ & -I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^\mu = (I_2, \vec{\sigma}), \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu = (-I_2, \vec{\sigma}). \tag{4.1}$$

The fermion action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^5x \sqrt{-\det G}\ \bar{\Psi}\mathcal{D}(c)\Psi \\ &= \int d^4x \int_1^{z_L} \frac{dz}{k}\ \breve{\bar{\Psi}} \begin{bmatrix} -k(D_-(c) + igA_z) & \sigma^\mu(\partial_\mu - igA_\mu) \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu(\partial_\mu - igA_\mu) & -k(D_+(c) - igA_z) \end{bmatrix} \breve{\Psi}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\breve{\Psi} = z^{-2}\,\Psi$ and $D_\pm(c)$ is defined in (B.5).

4.1 Brane mass terms

In addition to (2.3), the fermion fields have brane interactions given by $S_{\rm brane}$ in (2.22). With $\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{16}} \rangle \neq 0$ in (2.11), $S_{\rm brane}$ generates fermion mass terms on the Planck brane. As indicated in (2.22), the mass terms have matrix structure in the three generations. In the present paper we restrict ourselves to the case of diagonal mass matrices, and consider each generation of quarks and leptons separately. We shall drop the generation index henceforth. Each interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_j$ ($j = 1 \sim 6$) in (2.22) generates a brane mass $\mathcal{L}_j^m$.

$$\begin{aligned} S^m_{\rm brane} &= \int d^5x \sqrt{-\det G}\, \delta(y) \Big\{ \mathcal{L}_1^m + \mathcal{L}_2^m + \mathcal{L}_3^m + \mathcal{L}_4^m + \mathcal{L}_5^m + \mathcal{L}_6^m \Big\}\ , \\ \mathcal{L}_1^m &= -2\mu_1 \left(\overline{S}'_R \hat{\nu}_L + \overline{\hat{\nu}}_L S'_R \right)\ , \\ \mathcal{L}_2^m &= -2\mu_2 \left(\overline{S}_L \nu'_R + \overline{\nu'}_R S_L \right)\ , \\ \mathcal{L}_3^m &= -2\mu_3 \Big\{ i(\overline{E}_R e_L - \overline{e}_L E_R) + i(\overline{N}_R \nu_L - \overline{\nu}_L N_R) \\ &\quad + (\overline{\hat{D}}_{1R} \hat{d}_{1L} + \overline{\hat{d}}_{1L} \hat{D}_{1R}) + (\overline{\hat{D}}_{2R} \hat{d}_{2L} + \overline{\hat{d}}_{2L} \hat{D}_{2R}) + (\overline{\hat{D}}_{3R} \hat{d}_{3L} + \overline{\hat{d}}_{3L} \hat{D}_{3R}) \Big\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_4^m &= -2\mu_4 \Big\{ i(\overline{\hat{E}}'_L \hat{e}'_R - \overline{\hat{e}'}_R \hat{E}'_L) + i(\overline{\hat{N}}'_L \hat{\nu}'_R - \overline{\hat{\nu}'}_R \hat{N}'_L) \\ &\quad - (\overline{D}'_{1L} d'_{1R} + \overline{d}'_{1R} D'_{1L}) + (\overline{D}'_{2L} d'_{2R} + \overline{d}'_{2R} D'_{2L}) - (\overline{D}'_{3L} d'_{3R} + \overline{d}'_{3R} D'_{3L}) \Big\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_5^m &= -2\mu_5 \left(\overline{S}'_R S_L + \overline{S}_L S'_R \right)\ , \\ \mathcal{L}_6^m &= -2\mu_6 \Big\{ \overline{E}'_L E_R + \overline{E}_R E'_L + \overline{\hat{E}}'_L \hat{E}_R + \overline{\hat{E}}_R \hat{E}'_L \end{aligned}$$

$$+\overline{N}'_L N_R + \overline{N}_R N'_L + \overline{\hat{N}}'_L \hat{N}_R + \overline{\hat{N}}_R \hat{N}'_L$$
$$+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left(\overline{D}'_{jL} D_{jR} + \overline{D}_{jR} D'_{jL} + \overline{\hat{D}}'_{jL} \hat{D}_{jR} + \overline{\hat{D}}_{jR} \hat{D}'_{jL} \right) \Big\}, \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mu_1 &= \kappa_{[1,16]}\, w \ , \\ 2\mu_2 &= \kappa_{[1,\overline{16}]}\, w \ , \\ 2\mu_3 &= \sqrt{2}\, \kappa_{[10,16]}\, w \ , \\ 2\mu_4 &= \sqrt{2}\, \kappa_{[10,\overline{16}]}\, w \ , \\ 2\mu_5 &= \mu_{[1,1]} \ , \\ 2\mu_6 &= \mu_{[10,10]} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

All μ_k's are taken to be real without loss of generality. Fermions with $Q_{\rm EM} = \pm\frac{2}{3}$, $u_j, u'_j, \hat{u}_j, \hat{u}'_j$, do not appear in the brane masses in (4.3).

4.2 Quarks and leptons

To derive the mass spectrum for fermions, we note that the components of $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$ in the original and twisted gauges are related by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta(z) & -i\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta(z) \\ -i\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta(z) & \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta(z) \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi} \ , \\ \hat{\chi} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta(z) & i\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta(z) \\ i\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta(z) & \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta(z) \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\hat{\chi}} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where χ and $\hat{\chi}$ are defined in (2.43). $\theta(z)$ is given in (2.40). In the original gauge with θ_H, one has

$$\begin{aligned} g A_z^{cl} &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\, A_z^{(4,11)}\, T^{4,11} = -\theta'(z)\, T^{4,11} \ , \\ T^{4,11} &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\tau_1 & \text{for } \chi, \\ -\frac{1}{2}\tau_1 & \text{for } \hat{\chi}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{cl}(c) &= \begin{pmatrix} -k\hat{D}_-(c) & \sigma^\mu\partial_\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu\partial_\mu & -k\hat{D}_+(c) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{D}_\pm(c) &= \pm\Big(\frac{d}{dz} + i\theta'(z)\, T^{4,11}\Big) + \frac{c}{z} \ , \\ \mathcal{D}_0(c) &= \begin{pmatrix} -kD_-(c) & \sigma^\mu\partial_\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu\partial_\mu & -kD_+(c) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

To simplify the notation the bulk mass parameters are denoted as

$$c_0 = c_{\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}} \ , \quad c_1 = c_{\Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}} \ , \quad c_2 = c_{\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}} \ . \tag{4.8}$$

(i) $Q_{\rm EM} = +\frac{2}{3} : u_j, u'_j$

There are no brane mass terms. The boundary conditions are $D_+ \breve{u}_{jL} = 0$, $\breve{u}_{jR} = 0$, $\breve{u}'_{jL} = 0$, and $D_- \breve{u}'_{jR} = 0$ at $z = 1, z_L$. The equations of motion in the twisted gauge are

$$\begin{aligned} -kD_-(c_0) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\breve{u}}_{jR} \\ \tilde{\breve{u}}'_{jR} \end{pmatrix} + \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\breve{u}}_{jL} \\ \tilde{\breve{u}}'_{jL} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ , \\ -kD_+(c_0) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\breve{u}}_{jL} \\ \tilde{\breve{u}}'_{jL} \end{pmatrix} + \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\breve{u}}_{jR} \\ \tilde{\breve{u}}'_{jR} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

$(\tilde{\breve{u}}_j, \tilde{\breve{u}}'_j)$ satisfy the same boundary conditions at $z = z_L$ as $(\breve{u}_j, \breve{u}'_j)$ so that one can write, for each mode, as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\breve{u}}_{jR} \\ \tilde{\breve{u}}'_{jR} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_u S_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{u'} C_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \end{pmatrix} f_R(x) \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\breve{u}}_{jL} \\ \tilde{\breve{u}}'_{jL} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_u C_L(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{u'} S_L(z;\lambda,c_0) \end{pmatrix} f_L(x) \ , \tag{4.10}$$

where $\bar{\sigma}\partial f_R(x) = k\lambda f_L(x)$ and $\sigma\partial f_L(x) = k\lambda f_R(x)$. Both right- and left-handed modes have the same coefficients α_u and $\alpha_{u'}$ as a result of the equations of motion.

The boundary conditions at $z = 1$ for the right-handed components, $\breve{u}_{jR} = 0$ and $D_- \breve{u}'_{jR} = 0$, become

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_H S_R^{c_0} & -i\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta_H C_R^{c_0} \\ -i\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta_H C_L^{c_0} & \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_H S_L^{c_0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_u \\ \alpha_{u'} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{4.11}$$

so that the spectrum is determined by

$$S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_0} + \sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H = 0 \ , \tag{4.12}$$

where $S_L^c = S_L(1;\lambda,c)$ etc.. The mass of the lowest mode, $m = k\lambda$, is given by

$$m_u = \begin{cases} \pi^{-1}\sqrt{1-4c_0^2}\,\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta_H\, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \pi^{-1}\sqrt{4c_0^2-1}\, z_L^{-c_0+0.5}\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta_H\, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

$c_0 = c_{\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}}$ is determined from the up-type quark mass. For the top quark $c_0 < \frac{1}{2}$, whereas for the charm and up quarks $c_0 > \frac{1}{2}$. Note that

$$\frac{m_t}{m_W} \sim \frac{\sqrt{kL(1-4c_0^2)}}{2\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_H} \ . \tag{4.14}$$

(ii) $Q_{\rm EM} = -\frac{2}{3} : \hat{u}_j, \hat{u}'_j$

There are no brane mass terms. The boundary conditions are $D_+\check{\hat{u}}_{jL} = 0$, $\check{\hat{u}}_{jR} = 0$, $\check{\hat{u}}'_{jL} = 0$, and $D_-\check{\hat{u}}'_{jR} = 0$ at $z = 1$, and $\check{\hat{u}}_{jL} = 0$, $D_-\check{\hat{u}}_{jR} = 0$, $D_+\check{\hat{u}}'_{jL} = 0$, and $\check{\hat{u}}'_{jR} = 0$ at $z = z_L$. Wave functions of each mode are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \check{\hat{u}}_{jR} \\ \check{\hat{u}}'_{jR} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\hat{u}} C_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\hat{u}'} S_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \end{pmatrix} f_R(x) \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \check{\hat{u}}_{jL} \\ \check{\hat{u}}'_{jL} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\hat{u}} S_L(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\hat{u}'} C_L(z;\lambda,c_0) \end{pmatrix} f_L(x) \ . \tag{4.15}$$

Boundary conditions at $z = 1$ lead to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_H C_R^{c_0} & i\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta_H S_R^{c_0} \\ i\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta_H S_L^{c_0} & \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_H C_L^{c_0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\hat{u}} \\ \alpha_{\hat{u}'} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{4.16}$$

so that the spectrum is determined by

$$S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_0} + \cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H = 0 \ . \tag{4.17}$$

The mass of the lowest mode is given by

$$m_{\hat{u}} = \begin{cases} \pi^{-1}\sqrt{1-4c_0^2}\,\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_H\, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \pi^{-1}\sqrt{4c_0^2-1}\, z_L^{-c_0+0.5}\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_H\, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

Note that

$$\frac{m_{\hat{u}}}{m_u} = \cot\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \ . \tag{4.19}$$

(iii) $Q_{\rm EM} = -\frac{1}{3}$: d_j, d'_j, D_j, D'_j

Equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a)\\ &(b) \qquad -k\hat{D}_- \begin{pmatrix} \check{d}_{jR} \\ \check{d}'_{jR} \end{pmatrix} + \sigma^\mu\partial_\mu \begin{pmatrix} \check{d}_{jL} \\ \check{d}'_{jL} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ , \\
&(c)\\ &(d) \qquad -k\hat{D}_+ \begin{pmatrix} \check{d}_{jL} \\ \check{d}'_{jL} \end{pmatrix} + \bar\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu \begin{pmatrix} \check{d}_{jR} \\ \check{d}'_{jR} \end{pmatrix} = 2\mu_4\delta(y) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \check{D}'_{jL} \end{pmatrix} \ , \\
&(e) \qquad -kD_-\check{D}'_{jR} + \sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\check{D}'_{jL} = 2\mu_4\delta(y)\check{d}'_{jR} + 2\mu_6\delta(y)\check{D}_{jR} \ , \\
&(f) \qquad -kD_+\check{D}'_{jL} + \bar\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\check{D}'_{jR} = 0 \ , \\
&(g) \qquad -kD_-\check{D}_{jR} + \sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\check{D}_{jL} = 0 \ , \\
&(h) \qquad -kD_+\check{D}_{jL} + \bar\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\check{D}_{jR} = 2\mu_6\delta(y)\check{D}'_{jL} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Here D_+ acting on $\check{d}_{jL}, \check{D}_{jL}, \check{D}'_{jL}$ means $D_+(c_0)$, $D_+(c_2)$, $D_+(c_1)$, respectively. Brane interactions affect boundary conditions at $y = 0$. $\check{d}_{jR}, \check{d}'_{jL}, \check{D}'_{jR}, \check{D}_{jL}$ are parity-odd at $y = 0$, whereas $\check{d}_{jL}, \check{d}'_{jR}, \check{D}'_{jL}, \check{D}_{jR}$ are parity-even. Recall that $D_\pm(c)$ is parity-odd at $y = 0$;

$$D_\pm(c) = \frac{e^{-\sigma(y)}}{k}\Big(\pm\frac{d}{dy} + c\sigma'(y)\Big) = e^{-\sigma(y)}\Big(\pm\frac{1}{k}\frac{d}{dy} + c\epsilon(y)\Big) \ . \tag{4.21}$$

Noting that $A_z^{(4,11)}$ is parity-even and integrating over y from $-\epsilon$ to ϵ in (4.20), one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a) \;\Rightarrow\; 2\check{d}_{jR}(x,\epsilon) = 0 \ , \\
&(d) \;\Rightarrow\; -2\check{d}'_{jL}(x,\epsilon) = 2\mu_4 \check{D}'_{jL}(x,0) \ , \\
&(e) \;\Rightarrow\; 2\check{D}'_{jR}(x,\epsilon) = 2\mu_4 \check{d}'_{jR}(x,0) + 2\mu_6 \check{D}_{jR}(x,0) \ , \\
&(h) \;\Rightarrow\; -2\check{D}_{jL}(x,\epsilon) = 2\mu_6 \check{D}'_{jL}(x,0) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

For parity-even fields we evaluate the equations (4.20) at $y = \epsilon > 0$, with the help of (4.22), to find

$$\begin{aligned}
&(c) \;\Rightarrow\; \hat{D}_+ \check{d}_{jL} = 0 \ , \\
&(b) \;\Rightarrow\; \hat{D}_- \check{d}'_{jR} + \mu_4 D_-(c_1) \check{D}'_{jR} = 0 \ , \\
&(f) \;\Rightarrow\; D_+ \check{D}'_{jL} - \mu_4 \hat{D}_+ \check{d}'_{jL} - \mu_6 D_+ \check{D}_{jL} = 0 \ , \\
&(g) \;\Rightarrow\; D_- \check{D}_{jR} + \mu_6 D_- \check{D}'_{jR} = 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

To summarize, the boundary conditions at $z = 1^+$ ($y = \epsilon$) are given by

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{(right-handed)} & \text{(left-handed)} \\
\check{d}_{jR} = 0 \ , & \hat{D}_+ \check{d}_{jL} = 0 \ , \\
\hat{D}_- \check{d}'_{jR} + \mu_4 D_- \check{D}'_{jR} = 0 \ , & \check{d}'_{jL} + \mu_4 \check{D}'_{jL} = 0 \ , \\
\check{D}'_{jR} - \mu_4 \check{d}'_{jR} - \mu_6 \check{D}_{jR} = 0 \ , & D_+ \check{D}'_{jL} - \mu_4 \hat{D}_+ \check{d}'_{jL} - \mu_6 D_+ \check{D}_{jL} = 0 \ , \\
D_- \check{D}_{jR} + \mu_6 D_- \check{D}'_{jR} = 0 \ , & \check{D}_{jL} + \mu_6 \check{D}'_{jL} = 0 \ .
\end{array} \tag{4.24}$$

In the twisted gauge all fields obey free equations in the bulk so that eigenmodes are expressed, with the boundary conditions at the TeV brane taken into account, as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\check{d}}_{jR} \\ \tilde{\check{d}}'_{jR} \\ \check{D}'_{jR} \\ \check{D}_{jR} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_d S_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{d'} C_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{D'} S_R(z;\lambda,c_1) \\ \alpha_D C_R(z;\lambda,c_2) \end{pmatrix} f_R(x) \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\check{d}}_{jL} \\ \tilde{\check{d}}'_{jL} \\ \check{D}'_{jL} \\ \check{D}_{jL} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_d C_L(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{d'} S_L(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{D'} C_L(z;\lambda,c_1) \\ \alpha_D S_L(z;\lambda,c_2) \end{pmatrix} f_L(x) \ . \tag{4.25}$$

The boundary conditions (4.24) for the right-handed components are converted to

$$K \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_d \\ \alpha_{d'} \\ \alpha_{D'} \\ \alpha_D \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ ,$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_R^{c_0} & -i \sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_R^{c_0} & 0 & 0 \\ -i \sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_L^{c_0} & \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_L^{c_0} & \mu_4 C_L^{c_1} & 0 \\ i\mu_4 \sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_R^{c_0} & -\mu_4 \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_R^{c_0} & S_R^{c_1} & -\mu_6 C_R^{c_2} \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_6 C_L^{c_1} & S_L^{c_2} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{4.26}$$

where $S_R^c = S_R(1;\lambda,c)$ etc.. The spectrum $\{\lambda_n\}$ is determined from $\det K = 0$.

$$\Big\{ \cos^2 \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_0} + \sin^2 \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H C_L^{c_0} C_R^{c_0} \Big\} \Big\{ S_R^{c_1} S_L^{c_2} + \mu_6^2 C_L^{c_1} C_R^{c_2} \Big\} + \mu_4^2 S_R^{c_0} C_R^{c_0} C_L^{c_1} S_L^{c_2} = 0 \ , \quad (4.27)$$

or, by making use of $C_L C_R - S_L S_R = 1$ one finds that

$$\Big\{ S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_0} + \sin^2 \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \Big\} \Big\{ S_R^{c_1} S_L^{c_2} + \mu_6^2 C_L^{c_1} C_R^{c_2} \Big\} + \mu_4^2 S_R^{c_0} C_R^{c_0} C_L^{c_1} S_L^{c_2} = 0 \ . \quad (4.28)$$

The same result is obtained from the boundary conditions for the left-handed components in (4.24).

For the mode with the lowest mass, the down-type quark, one can suppose that $\lambda z_L \ll 1$, $\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\theta_H \gg |S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_0}|$ and $\mu_6^2 C_L^{c_1} C_R^{c_2} \gg |S_R^{c_1} S_L^{c_2}|$ so that

$$- S_R^{c_0} S_L^{c_2} \simeq \frac{\mu_6^2 C_R^{c_2}}{\mu_4^2 C_R^{c_0}} \sin^2 \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \ . \quad (4.29)$$

In the first and second generations $c_j > \frac{1}{2}$, whereas in the third generation $c_j < \frac{1}{2}$. The mass is given by

$$m_d = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{\mu_6}{\mu_4}\sqrt{(1-2c_0)(1+2c_2)}\, z_L^{c_0-c_2} \sin \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0, c_2 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{\mu_6}{\mu_4}\sqrt{(2c_0-1)(1+2c_2)}\, z_L^{-c_2+0.5} \sin \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0, c_2 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (4.30)$$

In either case one finds that

$$\frac{m_d}{m_u} = \frac{\mu_6}{\mu_4}\sqrt{\frac{1+2c_2}{1+2c_0}}\, z_L^{c_0-c_2} \ . \quad (4.31)$$

(iv) $Q_{\rm EM} = +\frac{1}{3}$: $\hat{d}_j, \hat{d}'_j, \hat{D}_j, \hat{D}'_j$

$\hat{D}_{jR}$ and $\hat{D}'_{jL}$ have zero modes. Equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} & -k\hat{D}_- \begin{pmatrix} \check{\hat{d}}_{jR} \\ \check{\hat{d}}'_{jR} \end{pmatrix} + \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \begin{pmatrix} \check{\hat{d}}_{jL} \\ \check{\hat{d}}'_{jL} \end{pmatrix} = 2\mu_3 \delta(y) \begin{pmatrix} \check{\hat{D}}_{jR} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \\ & -k\hat{D}_+ \begin{pmatrix} \check{\hat{d}}_{jL} \\ \check{\hat{d}}'_{jL} \end{pmatrix} + \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \begin{pmatrix} \check{\hat{d}}_{jR} \\ \check{\hat{d}}'_{jR} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ , \\ & -kD_- \check{\hat{D}}'_{jR} + \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \check{\hat{D}}'_{jL} = 2\mu_6 \delta(y) \check{\hat{D}}_{jR} \ , \\ & -kD_+ \check{\hat{D}}'_{jL} + \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \check{\hat{D}}'_{jR} = 0 \ , \\ & -kD_- \check{\hat{D}}_{jR} + \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \check{\hat{D}}_{jL} = 0 \ , \\ & -kD_+ \check{\hat{D}}_{jL} + \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \check{\hat{D}}_{jR} = 2\mu_3 \delta(y) \check{\hat{d}}_{jL} + 2\mu_6 \delta(y) \check{\hat{D}}'_{jL} \ . \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

At the Planck brane ($y = 0$), $\hat{d}_R, \hat{d}'_L, \hat{D}'_R, \hat{D}_L$ are parity-odd, whereas $\hat{d}_L, \hat{d}'_R, \hat{D}'_L, \hat{D}_R$ are parity-even. The boundary conditions at $y = \epsilon$ ($z = 1^+$) become

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{(right-handed)} & \text{(left-handed)} \\
\hat{D}_- \check{\hat{d}}'_{jR} = 0 \ , & \check{\hat{d}}'_{jL} = 0 \ , \\
\check{\hat{d}}_{jR} - \mu_3 \check{\hat{D}}_{jR} = 0 \ , & \hat{D}_+ \check{\hat{d}}_{jL} - \mu_3 D_+ \check{\hat{D}}_{jL} = 0 \ , \\
D_- \check{\hat{D}}_{jR} + \mu_3 \hat{D}_- \check{\hat{d}}_{jR} + \mu_6 D_- \check{\hat{D}}'_{jR} = 0 \ , & \check{\hat{D}}_{jL} + \mu_3 \check{\hat{d}}_{jL} + \mu_6 \check{\hat{D}}'_{jL} = 0 \ , \\
\check{\hat{D}}'_{jR} - \mu_6 \check{\hat{D}}_{jR} = 0 \ , & D_+ \check{\hat{D}}'_{jL} - \mu_6 D_+ \check{\hat{D}}_{jL} = 0 \ .
\end{array}
\tag{4.33}
$$

Eigenmodes are given by

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \check{\hat{d}}'_{jR} \\ \check{\hat{d}}_{jR} \\ \check{\hat{D}}_{jR} \\ \check{\hat{D}}'_{jR} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\hat{d}'} S_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\hat{d}} C_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\hat{D}} C_R(z;\lambda,c_2) \\ \alpha_{\hat{D}'} S_R(z;\lambda,c_1) \end{pmatrix} f_R(x) \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \check{\hat{d}}'_{jL} \\ \check{\hat{d}}_{jL} \\ \check{\hat{D}}_{jL} \\ \check{\hat{D}}'_{jL} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\hat{d}'} C_L(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\hat{d}} S_L(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\hat{D}} S_L(z;\lambda,c_2) \\ \alpha_{\hat{D}'} C_L(z;\lambda,c_1) \end{pmatrix} f_L(x) \ . \tag{4.34}
$$

The boundary conditions (4.33) lead to

$$
K \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\hat{d}'} \\ \alpha_{\hat{d}} \\ \alpha_{\hat{D}} \\ \alpha_{\hat{D}'} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ ,
$$

$$
K = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_L^{c_0} & i \sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_L^{c_0} & 0 & 0 \\ i \sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_R^{c_0} & \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_R^{c_0} & -\mu_3 C_R^{c_2} & 0 \\ i\mu_3 \sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_L^{c_0} & \mu_3 \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_L^{c_0} & S_L^{c_2} & \mu_6 C_L^{c_1} \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu_6 C_R^{c_2} & S_R^{c_1} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{4.35}
$$

The spectrum is determined by

$$
\Big\{ \cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H C_L^{c_0} C_R^{c_0} + \sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_0} \Big\} \Big\{ S_R^{c_1} S_L^{c_2} + \mu_6^2 C_L^{c_1} C_R^{c_2} \Big\} + \mu_3^2 S_L^{c_0} C_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_1} C_R^{c_2} = 0 \ , \tag{4.36}
$$

or

$$
\Big\{ S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_0} + \cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \Big\} \Big\{ S_R^{c_1} S_L^{c_2} + \mu_6^2 C_L^{c_1} C_R^{c_2} \Big\} + \mu_3^2 S_L^{c_0} C_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_1} C_R^{c_2} = 0 \ . \tag{4.37}
$$

For the mode with the lowest mass,

$$
- S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_1} \simeq \frac{\mu_6^2 C_L^{c_1}}{\mu_3^2 C_L^{c_0}} \cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \ . \tag{4.38}
$$

The mass is given by

$$
m_{\hat{d}} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{\mu_6}{\mu_3} \sqrt{(1-2c_1)(1+2c_0)}\, z_L^{c_1-c_0} \cos\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0, c_1 < \tfrac{1}{2}, \\ \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{\mu_6}{\mu_3} \sqrt{(2c_0+1)(2c_1-1)}\, z_L^{-c_0+0.5} \cos\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0, c_1 > \tfrac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.39}
$$

One finds that

$$\frac{m_{\hat{d}}}{m_u} = \frac{\mu_6}{\mu_3}\sqrt{\frac{1-2c_1}{1-2c_0}}\,\cot\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \times \begin{cases} z_L^{c_1-c_0} & \text{for } c_0, c_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1 & \text{for } c_0, c_1 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.40}$$

(v) $Q_{\rm EM} = -1 : e, e', E, E'$

The spectrum in the $Q_{\rm EM} = -1$ sector is found in a similar manner. Boundary conditions at $y = \epsilon$ ($z = 1^+$) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(right-handed)} && \text{(left-handed)} \\ &\hat{D}_- \check{e}'_R = 0 \ , && \check{e}'_L = 0 \ , \\ &\check{e}_R + i\mu_3 \check{E}_R = 0 \ , && \hat{D}_+ \check{e}_L + i\mu_3 D_+ \check{E}_L = 0 \ , \\ &D_- \check{E}_R + i\mu_3 \hat{D}_- \check{e}_R + \mu_6 D_- \check{E}'_R = 0 \ , && \check{E}_L + i\mu_3 \check{e}_L + \mu_6 \check{E}'_L = 0 \ , \\ &\check{E}'_R - \mu_6 \check{E}_R = 0 \ , && D_+ \check{E}'_L - \mu_6 D_+ \check{E}_L = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

and mode functions in the twisted gauge are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\check{e}}'_R \\ \tilde{\check{e}}_R \\ \check{E}_R \\ \check{E}'_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{e'} C_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_e S_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_E S_R(z;\lambda,c_2) \\ \alpha_{E'} C_R(z;\lambda,c_1) \end{pmatrix} f_R(x) \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\check{e}}'_L \\ \tilde{\check{e}}_L \\ \check{E}_L \\ \check{E}'_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{e'} S_L(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_e C_L(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_E C_L(z;\lambda,c_2) \\ \alpha_{E'} S_L(z;\lambda,c_1) \end{pmatrix} f_L(x) \ . \tag{4.42}$$

The boundary conditions in (4.41) lead to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_L^{c_0} & -i\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_L^{c_0} & 0 & 0 \\ -i\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_R^{c_0} & \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_R^{c_0} & i\mu_3 S_R^{c_2} & 0 \\ \mu_3 \sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_L^{c_0} & i\mu_3 \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_L^{c_0} & C_L^{c_2} & \mu_6 S_L^{c_1} \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu_6 S_R^{c_2} & C_R^{c_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{e'} \\ \alpha_e \\ \alpha_E \\ \alpha_{E'} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ . \tag{4.43}$$

Consequently the spectrum is determined by

$$\left\{ S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_0} + \sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \right\} \left\{ C_R^{c_1} C_L^{c_2} + \mu_6^2 S_L^{c_1} S_R^{c_2} \right\} + \mu_3^2 S_L^{c_0} C_L^{c_0} C_R^{c_1} S_R^{c_2} = 0 \ . \tag{4.44}$$

For the lowest mode, the electron,

$$-\,S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_2} \simeq \frac{C_L^{c_2}}{\mu_3^2 C_L^{c_0}} \sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \ , \tag{4.45}$$

so that

$$m_e = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{1}{\mu_3}\sqrt{(1-2c_2)(1+2c_0)}\, z_L^{c_2-c_0} \sin\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0, c_2 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{1}{\mu_3}\sqrt{(2c_2-1)(1+2c_0)}\, z_L^{-c_0+0.5} \sin\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0, c_2 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.46}$$

One finds that

$$\frac{m_e}{m_u} = \frac{1}{\mu_3}\sqrt{\frac{1-2c_2}{1-2c_0}} \times \begin{cases} z_L^{c_2-c_0} & \text{for } c_0, c_2 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1 & \text{for } c_0, c_2 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.47}$$

(vi) $Q_{\rm EM} = +1 : \hat{e}, \hat{e}', \hat{E}, \hat{E}'$

There are no zero modes. Boundary conditions at $y = \epsilon$ ($z = 1^+$) for right-handed components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\check{\hat{e}}_R = 0 \ , \\ &\hat{D}_- \check{\hat{e}}'_R - i\mu_4 D_- \check{\hat{E}}'_R = 0 \ , \\ &\check{\hat{E}}'_R - i\mu_4 \check{\hat{e}}'_R - \mu_6 \check{\hat{E}}_R = 0 \ , \\ &D_- \check{\hat{E}}_R + \mu_6 D_- \check{\hat{E}}'_R = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

and wave functions in the twisted gauge are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \check{\hat{e}}_R \\ \check{\hat{e}}'_R \\ \check{\hat{E}}'_R \\ \check{\hat{E}}_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\hat{e}} C_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\hat{e}'} S_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\hat{E}'} C_R(z;\lambda,c_1) \\ \alpha_{\hat{E}} S_R(z;\lambda,c_2) \end{pmatrix} f_R(x) \ . \tag{4.49}$$

Expressions for the left-handed components are obtained by simple replacement which would be obvious from the cases for $Q_{\rm EM} = \pm\frac{1}{3}$ etc. The boundary conditions in (4.48) are converted to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_R^{c_0} & i\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_R^{c_0} & 0 & 0 \\ i\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_L^{c_0} & \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_L^{c_0} & -i\mu_4 S_L^{c_1} & 0 \\ \mu_4 \sin\frac{\theta_H}{2} C_R^{c_0} & -i\mu_4 \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2} S_R^{c_0} & C_R^{c_1} & -\mu_6 S_R^{c_2} \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_6 S_L^{c_1} & C_L^{c_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\hat{e}} \\ \alpha_{\hat{e}'} \\ \alpha_{\hat{E}'} \\ \alpha_{\hat{E}} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ . \tag{4.50}$$

The spectrum is determined by

$$\left\{ S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_0} + \cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \right\} \left\{ C_R^{c_1} C_L^{c_2} + \mu_6^2 S_L^{c_1} S_R^{c_2} \right\} + \mu_4^2 S_R^{c_0} C_R^{c_0} S_L^{c_1} C_L^{c_2} = 0 \ . \tag{4.51}$$

For the lowest mode

$$-\, S_R^{c_0} S_L^{c_1} \simeq \frac{C_R^{c_1}}{\mu_4^2 C_R^{c_0}} \cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \ , \tag{4.52}$$

so that

$$m_{\hat{e}} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{1}{\mu_4}\sqrt{(1-2c_0)(1+2c_1)}\, z_L^{c_0-c_1} \cos\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0, c_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{1}{\mu_4}\sqrt{(2c_0-1)(1+2c_1)}\, z_L^{-c_1+0.5} \cos\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_0, c_1 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.53}$$

One finds that

$$\frac{m_{\hat{e}}}{m_u} = \frac{1}{\mu_4}\sqrt{\frac{1+2c_1}{1+2c_0}}\, z_L^{c_0-c_1} \cot \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \tag{4.54}$$

both for $c_0, c_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ and for $c_0, c_1 > \frac{1}{2}$.

(vii) $Q_{\rm EM} = 0 : \nu, \nu', N, N', S, S', \hat{\nu}, \hat{\nu}', \hat{N}, \hat{N}'$

Only ν_L, ν'_R have zero modes. In general all these ten components mix with each other. It is convenient to split them into two sets;

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Set 1}: \ \nu, \nu', N, N', S, \\ &\text{Set 2}: \ \hat{\nu}, \hat{\nu}', \hat{N}, \hat{N}', S'. \end{aligned}$$

Boundary conditions at $y = \epsilon$ ($z = 1^+$) become

$$\begin{aligned} &\check{\nu}_R + i\mu_3 \check{N}_R = 0 \ , \\ &\hat{D}_- \check{\nu}'_R + \mu_2 D_- \check{S}_R = 0 \ , \\ &\check{N}'_R - \mu_6 \check{N}_R = 0 \ , \\ &\check{S}_R - \mu_5 \check{S}'_R - \mu_2 \check{\nu}'_R = 0 \ , \\ &D_- \check{N}_R + i\mu_3 \hat{D}_- \check{\nu}_R + \mu_6 D_- \check{N}'_R = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.55}$$

for Set 1, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{D}_- \check{\hat{\nu}}'_R - i\mu_4 D_- \check{\hat{N}}'_R = 0 \ , \\ &\check{\hat{\nu}}_R - \mu_1 \check{S}'_R = 0 \ , \\ &D_- \check{\hat{N}}_R + \mu_6 D_- \check{\hat{N}}'_R = 0 \ , \\ &D_- \check{S}'_R + \mu_5 D_- \check{S}_R + \mu_1 \hat{D}_- \check{\nu}_R = 0 \ , \\ &\check{\hat{N}}'_R - i\mu_4 \check{\hat{\nu}}'_R - \mu_6 \check{\hat{N}}_R = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.56}$$

for Set 2. When $\mu_5 = 0$, the two sets of boundary conditions decouple from each other. We set $\mu_5 = 0$ in the following analysis.

Wave functions in the twisted gauge are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \check{\tilde{\nu}}_R \\ \check{\tilde{\nu}}'_R \\ \check{N}_R \\ \check{N}'_R \\ \check{S}_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_\nu S_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\nu'} C_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_N S_R(z;\lambda,c_2) \\ \alpha_{N'} C_R(z;\lambda,c_1) \\ \alpha_S C_R(z;\lambda,c_2) \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \check{\tilde{\hat{\nu}}}'_R \\ \check{\tilde{\hat{\nu}}}_R \\ \check{\hat{N}}'_R \\ \check{\hat{N}}_R \\ \check{S}'_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\hat{\nu}'} S_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\hat{\nu}} C_R(z;\lambda,c_0) \\ \alpha_{\hat{N}'} C_R(z;\lambda,c_1) \\ \alpha_{\hat{N}} S_R(z;\lambda,c_2) \\ \alpha_{S'} S_R(z;\lambda,c_1) \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{4.57}$$

The boundary conditions (4.55) and (4.56) for $\mu_5 = 0$ lead to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2}S_R^{c_0} & -i\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2}C_R^{c_0} & i\mu_3 S_R^{c_2} & 0 & 0 \\ -i\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2}C_L^{c_0} & \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2}S_L^{c_0} & 0 & 0 & \mu_2 S_L^{c_2} \\ i\mu_2\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2}S_R^{c_0} & -\mu_2\cos\frac{\theta_H}{2}C_R^{c_0} & 0 & 0 & C_R^{c_2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu_6 S_R^{c_2} & C_R^{c_1} & 0 \\ i\mu_3\cos\frac{\theta_H}{2}C_L^{c_0} & \mu_3\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2}S_L^{c_0} & C_L^{c_2} & \mu_6 S_L^{c_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_\nu \\ \alpha_{\nu'} \\ \alpha_N \\ \alpha_{N'} \\ \alpha_S \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2}C_L^{c_0} & i\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2}S_L^{c_0} & -i\mu_4 S_L^{c_1} & 0 & 0 \\ i\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2}S_R^{c_0} & \cos\frac{\theta_H}{2}C_R^{c_0} & 0 & 0 & -\mu_1 S_R^{c_1} \\ i\mu_1\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2}C_L^{c_0} & \mu_1\cos\frac{\theta_H}{2}S_L^{c_0} & 0 & 0 & C_L^{c_1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_6 S_L^{c_1} & C_L^{c_2} & 0 \\ -i\mu_4\cos\frac{\theta_H}{2}S_R^{c_0} & \mu_4\sin\frac{\theta_H}{2}C_R^{c_0} & C_R^{c_1} & -\mu_6 S_R^{c_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\hat{\nu}'} \\ \alpha_{\hat{\nu}} \\ \alpha_{\hat{N}'} \\ \alpha_{\hat{N}} \\ \alpha_{S'} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (4.58)$$

The spectrum for Set 1 is determined by

$$\sin^2\frac{\theta_H}{2}\left\{C_L^{c_0}C_R^{c_2} + \mu_2^2 S_R^{c_0}S_L^{c_2}\right\}\left\{C_R^{c_0}C_R^{c_1}C_L^{c_2} + \mu_3^2 S_L^{c_0}C_R^{c_1}S_R^{c_2} + \mu_6^2 C_R^{c_0}S_L^{c_1}S_R^{c_2}\right\}$$
$$+\cos^2\frac{\theta_H}{2}\left\{S_L^{c_0}C_R^{c_2} + \mu_2^2 C_R^{c_0}S_L^{c_2}\right\}\left\{S_R^{c_0}C_R^{c_1}C_L^{c_2} + \mu_3^2 C_L^{c_0}C_R^{c_1}S_R^{c_2} + \mu_6^2 S_R^{c_0}S_L^{c_1}S_R^{c_2}\right\} = 0. \quad (4.59)$$

As will be seen shortly, μ_2 needs to be very large to have small neutrino masses. Careful evaluation of each term in (4.59) is necessary to find approximate formulas for neutrinos. For the lowest mode with $\lambda z_L \ll 1$,

$$C_L^{c_0}C_R^{c_2} + \mu_2^2 S_R^{c_0}S_L^{c_2} \simeq z_L^{c_0-c_2}\left\{1 - \frac{\mu_2^2(\lambda z_L)^2 z_L^{2(c_2-c_0)}}{(1-2c_0)(1+2c_2)}\right\},$$
$$S_L^{c_0}C_R^{c_2} + \mu_2^2 C_R^{c_0}S_L^{c_2} \simeq -\lambda z_L^{1+c_0-c_2}\left\{\frac{1}{1+2c_0} + \frac{\mu_2^2 z_L^{2(c_2-c_0)}}{1+2c_2}\right\},$$
$$S_R^{c_0}C_L^{c_2} + \mu_3^2 C_L^{c_0}S_R^{c_2} \simeq \lambda z_L^{1+c_2-c_0}\left\{\frac{1}{1-2c_0} + \frac{\mu_3^2 z_L^{2(c_0-c_2)}}{1-2c_2}\right\} \quad (4.60)$$

for $c_0, c_2 < \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$C_L^{c_0}C_R^{c_2} + \mu_2^2 S_R^{c_0}S_L^{c_2} \simeq z_L^{c_0-c_2}\left\{1 - \frac{\mu_2^2(\lambda z_L)^2 z_L^{2c_2-1}}{(2c_0-1)(1+2c_2)}\right\},$$
$$S_L^{c_0}C_R^{c_2} + \mu_2^2 C_R^{c_0}S_L^{c_2} \simeq -\lambda z_L^{1+c_0-c_2}\left\{\frac{1}{1+2c_0} + \frac{\mu_2^2 z_L^{2(c_2-c_0)}}{1+2c_2}\right\},$$
$$S_R^{c_0}C_L^{c_2} + \mu_3^2 C_L^{c_0}S_R^{c_2} \simeq \lambda z_L^{c_0+c_2}\left\{\frac{1}{2c_0-1} + \frac{\mu_3^2}{2c_2-1}\right\} \quad (4.61)$$

for $c_0, c_2 > \frac{1}{2}$. For neutrinos $\lambda z_L = \pi m_\nu / m_{\rm KK}$. In the third generation, for which we choose $c_0, c_2 < \frac{1}{2}$, it is found, a posteriori, that $\mu_2 \lambda z_L^{1+c_2-c_0} \sim (m_\tau/m_t)\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta_H \ll 1$,

$\mu_2 z_L^{c_2-c_0} \sim m_\tau/m_{\nu_\tau} \gg 1$, and $\mu_3 z_L^{c_0-c_2} \sim m_t/m_\tau \gg 1$. In the first and second generations we have $c_0, c_2 > \frac{1}{2}$. It is found, a posteriori, that $\mu_2 \lambda z_L^{0.5+c_2} \sim (m_e/m_u) \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta_H \ll 1$, $\mu_2 z_L^{c_2-c_0} \sim m_e/m_{\nu_e} \gg 1$, and $\mu_3^2 \sim (m_u/m_e)^2 \gg 1$. Hence, in both cases the mass of the lowest mode, the neutrino, is determined approximately by

$$-S_L^{c_2} S_R^{c_2} \simeq \frac{1}{\mu_2^2 \mu_3^2} \sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \ , \tag{4.62}$$

so that

$$m_\nu = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{1}{\mu_2\mu_3}\sqrt{1-4c_2^2}\,\sin\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H\, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_2 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{1}{\mu_2\mu_3}\sqrt{4c_2^2-1}\; z_L^{-c_2+0.5}\sin\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H\, m_{\rm KK} & \text{for } c_2 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.63}$$

One finds that

$$\frac{m_\nu}{m_u} = \frac{1}{\mu_2\mu_3}\sqrt{\frac{1-4c_2^2}{1-4c_0^2}} \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } c_0, c_2 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ z_L^{c_0-c_2} & \text{for } c_0, c_2 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.64}$$

We note that

$$\frac{m_\nu}{m_e} = \frac{1}{\mu_2}\sqrt{\frac{1+2c_2}{1+2c_0}}\, z_L^{c_0-c_2} \tag{4.65}$$

for $c_0, c_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ and for $c_0, c_2 > \frac{1}{2}$.

The spectrum for Set 2 is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sin^2\frac{\theta_H}{2}\big\{S_R^{c_0}C_L^{c_1} + \mu_1^2 C_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_1}\big\}\big\{S_L^{c_0}C_L^{c_2}C_R^{c_1} + \mu_4^2 C_R^{c_0}C_L^{c_2}S_L^{c_1} + \mu_6^2 S_L^{c_0}S_R^{c_2}S_L^{c_1}\big\} \\ &+\cos^2\frac{\theta_H}{2}\big\{C_R^{c_0}C_L^{c_1} + \mu_1^2 S_L^{c_0} S_R^{c_1}\big\}\big\{C_L^{c_0}C_L^{c_2}C_R^{c_1} + \mu_4^2 S_R^{c_0}C_L^{c_2}S_L^{c_1} + \mu_6^2 C_L^{c_0}S_R^{c_2}S_L^{c_1}\big\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

The mass of the lowest mode is approximately given by

$$m_{\hat\nu} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\pi^{-1}\, m_{\rm KK}\cot\frac{1}{2}\theta_H}{\sqrt{\Big(\dfrac{1}{1-2c_0} + \dfrac{\mu_1^2 z_L^{2(c_0-c_1)}}{1-2c_1}\Big)\Big(\dfrac{1}{1+2c_0} + \dfrac{\mu_4^2 z_L^{2(c_1-c_0)}}{1+2c_1}\Big)}} & \text{for } c_0, c_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \dfrac{\pi^{-1}\, m_{\rm KK}\cot\frac{1}{2}\theta_H}{\sqrt{\Big(\dfrac{1}{2c_0-1} + \dfrac{\mu_1^2}{2c_1-1}\Big)\Big(\dfrac{z_L^{2c_0}}{1+2c_0} + \dfrac{\mu_4^2 z_L^{2c_1}}{1+2c_1}\Big)}} & \text{for } c_0, c_1 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.67}$$

4.3 Exotic particles

In each generation one can reproduce the mass spectrum of quarks and leptons at the unification scale by adjusting the parameters $c_0, c_1, c_2, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4/\mu_6$ in (4.13), (4.30), (4.46), and (4.63). There are more than enough number of parameters.

However, there also appear new particles below the KK scale as shown in (4.18) in the $Q_{\rm EM} = -\frac{2}{3}$ sector, in (4.39) in the $Q_{\rm EM} = +\frac{1}{3}$ sector, in (4.53) in the $Q_{\rm EM} = +1$ sector, and

in (4.67) in the $Q_{\rm EM}=0$ sector. In particular, the exotic particle in the $Q_{\rm EM}=-\frac{2}{3}$ sector causes a severe problem. As shown in (4.19), the ratio of $m_{\hat{u}}$ to m_u is solely determined by θ_H. Phenomenologically $\theta_H < 0.1$. It will be seen in the next section that with reasonable parameters it is not possible to get a minimum of the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ at very small θ_H. It is unavoidable to have unwanted light $\hat{u}$ particles in the first and second generation.

5 Effective potential

In this section, we evaluate the Higgs effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ by using the mass spectrum formulas of $SO(11)$ gauge bosons and fermions. The contributions to the effective potential from the quark-lepton multiplets in the first and second generations are negligibly small in the RS space, and can be ignored. In numerical evaluation, we use the mass parameters and gauge coupling constants listed in PDG [75].

One-loop effective potential from each KK tower is given by[8, 74, 76]

$$V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H) = \pm\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\sum_n \ln\left(p^2+m_n(\theta_H)^2\right) = \pm I\left[Q(q);f(\theta_H)\right], \tag{5.1}$$

where $\{m_n(\theta_H)\}$ is the mass spectrum of the KK tower and we take + sign for bosons and − sign for fermions. $I[Q;f]$ is given by

$$I[Q(q);f(\theta_H)] := \frac{(kz_L^{-1})^4}{(4\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty dq\, q^3 \ln[1+Q(q)f(\theta_H)], \tag{5.2}$$

where $Q(q)=\tilde{Q}(iqz_L^{-1})$ when the mass spectrum ($m_n=k\lambda_n$) is determined by $\tilde{Q}(\lambda_n)=0$. For example, when a mass spectrum is determined by the equation $A(\lambda_n)+B(\lambda_n)f(\theta_H)=0$, we rewrite the equation as $1+\tilde{Q}(\lambda_n)f(\theta_H)=0$ where $\tilde{Q}(\lambda)=A(\lambda)/B(\lambda)$. The first and second derivatives of $I\left[Q(q);f(\theta_H)\right]$ with respect to θ_H are given by

$$\frac{\partial I[Q(q);f(\theta_H)]}{\partial\theta_H} = \frac{(kz_L^{-1})^4}{(4\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty dq\, q^3\frac{Q(q)f^{(1)}(\theta_H)}{1+Q(q)f(\theta_H)}\ ,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 I[Q(q);f(\theta_H)]}{\partial\theta_H^2} = \frac{(kz_L^{-1})^4}{(4\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty dq\, q^3\left[\frac{Q(q)f^{(2)}(\theta_H)}{1+Q(q)f(\theta_H)} - \Big(\frac{Q(q)f^{(1)}(\theta_H)}{1+Q(q)f(\theta_H)}\Big)^2\right], \tag{5.3}$$

where $f^{(n)}(\theta_H) := \partial^n f(\theta_H)/\partial\theta_H^n$.

The evaluation of the total effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H) = V_{\rm eff}^{\rm gauge}(\theta_H) + V_{\rm eff}^{\rm fermion}(\theta_H)$ is straightforward. We are interested in the θ_H-dependent part of $V_{\rm eff}$, to which only KK towers with θ_H-dependent spectra contribute. $V_{\rm eff}^{\rm gauge}(\theta_H)$ in the $\xi=1$ gauge is decomposed as

$$V_{\rm eff}^{\rm gauge}(\theta_H) = V_{\rm eff}^{W^\pm} + V_{\rm eff}^{Z} + V_{\rm eff}^{Y} + V_{\rm eff}^{A_z^{a4},A_z^{a\,11}} + V_{\rm eff}^{A_z^{k4},A_z^{k\,11}}\ . \tag{5.4}$$

The equations determining the spectra are given by (3.9) for the $W^{\pm}$ tower, (3.15) for the Z tower, (3.29) for the Y boson tower, (3.36) for the $A_z^{a4}, A_z^{a\,11}$ $(a = 1, 2, 3)$ towers, and (3.32) for the A_z^{k4}, A_z^{k11} $(k = 5, ..., 10)$ towers. It has been confirmed that the use of the approximate formula (3.9) in place of the exact formula (3.8), for instance, is numerically justified. One finds that

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\rm eff}^{W^{\pm}}(\theta_H) &= 4I\left[\tfrac{1}{2}Q_0\left(q,\tfrac{1}{2}\right);\sin^2\theta_H\right], \\
V_{\rm eff}^{Z}(\theta_H) &= 2I\left[\tfrac{4}{5}Q_0\left(q,\tfrac{1}{2}\right);\sin^2\theta_H\right], \\
V_{\rm eff}^{Y}(\theta_H) &= 12I\left[\tfrac{1}{2}Q_0\left(q,\tfrac{1}{2}\right);1+\cos^2\theta_H\right], \\
V_{\rm eff}^{A_z^{a4},A_z^{a\,11}}(\theta_H) &= 3I\left[Q_0\left(q,\tfrac{1}{2}\right);\sin^2\theta_H\right], \\
V_{\rm eff}^{A_z^{k4},A_z^{k\,11}}(\theta_H) &= 6I\left[Q_0\left(q,\tfrac{1}{2}\right);\cos^2\theta_H\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q_0\left(q,c\right) = \frac{z_L}{q^2}\frac{1}{\hat{F}_c^{++}(q)\hat{F}_c^{--}(q)}\ , \\
&\hat{F}_c^{\pm\pm}(q) = \hat{F}_{c\pm\frac{1}{2},c\pm\frac{1}{2}}(qz_L^{-1},q)\ , \\
&\hat{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(u,v) = I_\alpha(u)K_\beta(v) - e^{-i(\alpha-\beta)\pi}K_\alpha(u)I_\beta(v),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where $I_\alpha(u)$ and $K_\alpha(u)$ are modified Bessel functions.

The fermion part $V_{\rm eff}^{\rm fermion}(\theta_H)$ is evaluated in a similar manner. Following the classification based on $Q_{\rm EM}$ in the previous section, we decompose $V_{\rm eff}^{\rm fermion}$ into eight parts;

$$\begin{aligned}
&V_{\rm eff}^{\rm fermion}(\theta_H; c_0, c_1, c_2; \mu_k) \\
&= V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (i)} + V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (ii)} + V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (iii)} + V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (iv)} + V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (v)} + V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (vi)} + V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (vii-1)} + V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (vii-2)}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

$V_{\rm eff}^{\rm fermion}$ depends on the three bulk mass parameters (c_j) and brane interaction mass parameters (μ_k) in the third generation. We set $\mu_5 = 0$ as before. The equations determining the mass spectra are (i) (4.12) for the $Q_{\rm EM} = +\frac{2}{3}$ (u-type) quarks, (ii) (4.17) for the $Q_{\rm EM} = -\frac{2}{3}$ ($\hat{u}$-type) quarks, (iii) (4.28) for the $Q_{\rm EM} = -\frac{1}{3}$ (d-type) quarks, (iv) (4.37) for the $Q_{\rm EM} = +\frac{1}{3}$ ($\hat{d}$-type) quarks, (v) (4.44) for the $Q_{\rm EM} = -1$ (e-type) leptons, (vi) (4.51) for the $Q_{\rm EM} = +1$ ($\hat{e}$-type) leptons, (vii-1) (4.59) for the $Q_{\rm EM} = 0$ (ν-type) leptons, and (vii-2) (4.66) for the $Q_{\rm EM} = 0$ ($\hat{\nu}$-type) leptons. $V_{\rm eff}^{\rm F(i)}$, $V_{\rm eff}^{\rm F(ii)}$, etc. are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (i)}(\theta_H) &= -4I\left[Q_0\left(q,c_0\right);\sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H\right], \\
V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (ii)}(\theta_H) &= -4I\left[Q_0\left(q,c_0\right);\cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H\right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (iii)}(\theta_H) &= -4I\left[Q_{\rm (iii)}(q,c_0,c_1,c_2,\mu_4,\mu_6);\sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H\right],\\
V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (iv)}(\theta_H) &= -4I\left[Q_{\rm (iv)}(q,c_0,c_1,c_2,\mu_3,\mu_6);\cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H\right],\\
V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (v)}(\theta_H) &= -4I\left[Q_{\rm (v)}(q,c_0,c_1,c_2,\mu_3,\mu_6);\sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H\right],\\
V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (vi)}(\theta_H) &= -4I\left[Q_{\rm (v)}(q,c_0,c_1,c_2,\mu_4,\mu_6);\cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H\right],\\
V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (vii-1)}(\theta_H) &= -4I\left[Q_{\rm (vii-1)}(q,c_0,c_1,c_2,\mu_2,\mu_3,\mu_6);\cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H\right],\\
V_{\rm eff}^{\rm (vii-2)}(\theta_H) &= -4I\left[Q_{\rm (vii-2)}(q,c_0,c_1,c_2,\mu_1,\mu_4,\mu_6);\sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H\right],
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.8}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\rm (iii)}(q) =& \frac{z_L}{q^2}\left\{\hat F^{++}_{c_0}\hat F^{--}_{c_0}+\frac{\mu_4^2\hat F^{++}_{c_2}\hat F^{--}_{c_0}\hat F^{+-}_{c_1}\hat F^{-+}_{c_0}}{\hat F^{++}_{c_2}\hat F^{--}_{c_1}+\mu_6^2\hat F^{+-}_{c_1}\hat F^{-+}_{c_2}}\right\}^{-1},\\
Q_{\rm (iv)}(q) =& \frac{z_L}{q^2}\left\{\hat F^{++}_{c_0}\hat F^{--}_{c_0}+\frac{\mu_3^2\hat F^{++}_{c_0}\hat F^{--}_{c_1}\hat F^{+-}_{c_0}\hat F^{-+}_{c_2}}{\hat F^{++}_{c_2}\hat F^{--}_{c_1}+\mu_6^2\hat F^{+-}_{c_1}\hat F^{-+}_{c_2}}\right\}^{-1},\\
Q_{\rm (v)}(q) =& \frac{z_L}{q^2}\left\{\hat F^{++}_{c_0}\hat F^{--}_{c_0}+\frac{\mu_3^2\hat F^{++}_{c_0}\hat F^{--}_{c_2}\hat F^{+-}_{c_0}\hat F^{-+}_{c_1}}{\mu_6^2\hat F^{++}_{c_1}\hat F^{--}_{c_2}+\hat F^{+-}_{c_2}\hat F^{-+}_{c_1}}\right\}^{-1},\\
Q_{\rm (vi)}(q) =& \frac{z_L}{q^2}\left\{\hat F^{++}_{c_0}\hat F^{--}_{c_0}+\frac{\mu_4^2\hat F^{++}_{c_1}\hat F^{--}_{c_0}\hat F^{+-}_{c_2}\hat F^{-+}_{c_0}}{\mu_6^2\hat F^{++}_{c_1}\hat F^{--}_{c_2}+\hat F^{+-}_{c_2}\hat F^{-+}_{c_1}}\right\}^{-1},\\
Q_{\rm (vii-1)}(q) =& -\frac{z_L}{q^2}\frac{1}{\mu_2^2\hat F^{++}_{c_2}\hat F^{--}_{c_0}+\hat F^{+-}_{c_0}\hat F^{-+}_{c_2}}\\
&\times\frac{\left\{(z_L/q^2)+(1-\mu_2^2\mu_3^2)\hat F^{++}_{c_2}\hat F^{--}_{c_2}\right\}\hat F^{-+}_{c_1}+\mu_6^2\hat F^{++}_{c_1}\hat F^{--}_{c_2}\hat F^{-+}_{c_2}}{\hat F^{+-}_{c_2}\hat F^{-+}_{c_0}\hat F^{-+}_{c_1}+\mu_3^2\hat F^{++}_{c_0}\hat F^{--}_{c_2}\hat F^{-+}_{c_1}+\mu_6^2\hat F^{++}_{c_1}\hat F^{--}_{c_2}\hat F^{-+}_{c_0}},\\
Q_{\rm (vii-2)}(q) =& -\frac{z_L}{q^2}\frac{1}{\mu_1^2\hat F^{++}_{c_0}\hat F^{--}_{c_1}+\hat F^{+-}_{c_1}\hat F^{-+}_{c_0}}\\
&\times\frac{\left\{(z_L/q^2)+(1-\mu_1^2\mu_4^2)\hat F^{++}_{c_1}\hat F^{--}_{c_1}\right\}\hat F^{+-}_{c_2}+\mu_6^2\hat F^{++}_{c_1}\hat F^{--}_{c_2}\hat F^{+-}_{c_1}}{\hat F^{+-}_{c_0}\hat F^{+-}_{c_2}\hat F^{-+}_{c_1}+\mu_4^2\hat F^{++}_{c_1}\hat F^{--}_{c_0}\hat F^{+-}_{c_2}+\mu_6^2\hat F^{++}_{c_1}\hat F^{--}_{c_2}\hat F^{+-}_{c_0}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.9}
$$

and $\hat F_c^{\pm\pm}=\hat F_c^{\pm\pm}(q)$.

The Higgs mass $m_H(\theta_H=\theta_H^{\rm min})$ is determined by

$$
m_H^2(\theta_H)=\frac{1}{f_H^2}\frac{d^2V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)}{d\theta_H^2}\bigg|_{\theta_H=\theta_1},
\tag{5.10}
$$

where f_H is given by (2.37), or by

$$
f_H=\sqrt{\frac{\sin^2\theta_W}{\pi\alpha_{em}}\frac{k^2}{(z_L^2-1)\log(z_L)}}.
\tag{5.11}
$$

Here α_{em} is the fine-structure constant, and θ_W is the Weinberg angle.

In the following we give example calculations for the effective potential and show a result for the Higgs mass. As we remarked before, the current $SO(11)$ model necessarily contains light exotic particles, and therefore is not completely realistic. With this in mind, we do not insist on reproducing all of the observed values of the masses of the SM gauge bosons, Higgs boson, quarks and leptons. Further the GUT relation leads to $\sin^2\theta_W = \frac{3}{8}$. The RGE effect must be taken into account to compare it with the observed value at low energies.

From the mass relations (4.31), (4.47), and (4.65) applied to the third generation, one finds the following constraints for the brane mass parameters $(\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_6)$;

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_2 &\simeq \sqrt{\frac{1+2c_2}{1+2c_0}}\, z_L^{c_0-c_2}\, \frac{m_\tau}{m_{\nu_\tau}} \ , \\
\mu_3 &\simeq \sqrt{\frac{1-2c_2}{1-2c_0}}\, z_L^{c_2-c_0}\, \frac{m_t}{m_\tau} \ , \\
\frac{\mu_6}{\mu_4} &\simeq \sqrt{\frac{1+2c_0}{1+2c_2}}\, z_L^{c_2-c_0}\, \frac{m_b}{m_t} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

For $c_0 = c_2$, these constraints lead typically to $\mu_2 > O(10^{10})$, $\mu_3 \sim 100$, $\mu_4 \simeq 40\mu_6$ and $\mu_6 = O(1)$. No constraint appears for μ_1. It should be noted that the brane parameters μ_k sensitively depend on the balk mass parameters c_0 and c_2, as demonstrated below.

To find a consistent set of the parameters we take the following procedure.

0. We fix $z_L = e^{kL}$ and pick $\theta_H = \theta_H^{\min}$.

1. We suppose that the minimum of $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ is located at $\theta_H = \theta_H^{\min}$. The equation (3.15) determine the spectrum $\{\lambda_{Z^{(n)}}\}$ of the Z tower. By using the zero mode mass $m_{Z^{(0)}}$ and the observed Z boson mass $m_Z^{\rm obs}$, $k = m_{Z^{(0)}}/\lambda_{Z^{(0)}} = m_Z^{\rm obs}/\lambda_{Z^{(0)}}$ is determined. The KK mass scale is also determined by $m_{KK} = \pi k/(z_L - 1) \simeq \pi k z_L^{-1}$.

2. The observed top quark mass $m_t^{\rm obs}$ determines the bulk mass parameter of the third generation $SO(11)$ spinor fermion c_0 through (4.13).

3. We choose a sample value of the bulk mass parameter of $SO(11)$ vector fermion c_2. Then the brane mass parameters μ_2, μ_3 and μ_6/μ_4 are determined by (5.12). For μ_1 and μ_4 we have taken sample values $\mu_1 = 1$ and $\mu_4 = 0.5$.

4. At this stage $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ is determined, once the bulk mass parameters of $SO(11)$ vector fermions c_1 is given. c_1 is fixed by demanding that $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ has the global minimum

Top quark	Bulk parameters			Brane parameters			Higgs
m_t[GeV]	c_0	c_1	c_2	μ_2	μ_3	μ_6	m_H[GeV]
165.0	0.3696	0.4286	0.2970	9.05×10^{10}	21.8	0.00249	50.96
170.0	0.3559	0.4293	0.3120	5.20×10^{10}	36.8	0.00420	51.77
175.0	0.3496	0.4286	0.3270	2.95×10^{10}	62.8	0.00719	53.52

Table 5: Consistent parameter sets for $\theta_H = 0.10$, $z_L = 10^{10}$, $(\mu_1, \mu_4) = (1.0, 0.50)$ for various values of m_t. The Higgs boson mass m_H is the output.

at $\theta_H = \theta_H^{\min}$.

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\theta_H} V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)\Big|_{\theta_H=\theta_H^{\min}}. \tag{5.13}$$

5. By using the above values of the bulk and brane parameters, we obtain the Higgs boson mass m_H by using (5.10).

Depending on the initial values of c_2, μ_1 and μ_4, one may not find a consistent solution at the step 4. In particular, we could not find consistent solutions with $\theta_H^{\min} \sim 0.1$ for $c_0 = c_2$. Judicious choice of appropriate values for c_2, μ_1 and μ_4 is necessary.

We give a sample calculation for the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ and $m_H(\theta_H)$. Let us take, as a set of the input parameters, $z_L = 10^{10}$, $\theta_H = 0.10$, $\alpha_{em}^{-1}(m_Z) = 127.916 \pm 0.015$, $\sin^2\theta_W(m_Z) = 0.23116 \pm 0.00013$, $m_t = 173.1 \pm 1.22\,\text{GeV}$, $m_b = 4.18\,\text{GeV}$, $m_\tau = 1.776\,\text{GeV}$, and $m_{\nu_\tau} = 0.1\,\text{eV}$. For m_b and m_τ, we use the central values. The value of m_{ν_τ} is a reference value for our calculation. m_{KK}, k, and f_H are determined to be

$$m_{KK} = 1.088 \times 10^4\ \text{GeV}, \quad k = 3.464 \times 10^{13}\ \text{GeV}, \quad f_H = 2216\ \text{GeV}. \tag{5.14}$$

For $m_t = 165.0, 170.0, 175.0\,\text{GeV}$, consistent sets of the bulk and brane parameters are tabulated in Table 5. The Higgs boson mass m_H is the output. In the current model it comes out in the range $50\,\text{GeV} < m_H < 55\,\text{GeV}$, smaller than the observed value $m_H \simeq 125\,\text{GeV}$. Even if one takes slightly different values for c_2, μ_1 and μ_4, the value of m_H does not change very much.

The effective potential for $m_t = 170\,\text{GeV}$ is displayed in Figure 1. The global minimum is located at $\theta_H = 0.10$, and the EW symmetry breaking takes place. In Figure 2 and Figure 3 contributions of gauge fields and fermions are plotted separately.

It is seen in Figure 2, the contributions from (v) A_z^{k4}, A_z^{k11} $(k = 5 \sim 10)$ and (viii) Y bosons dominate over others in the gauge field sector. In the fermion sector there appears cancellation among contributions from various components. It is seen in Figure 3, the



Figure 1: The effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ for $\theta_H^{\rm min} = 0.10$, $z_L = 10^{10}$ and $m_t = 170\,{\rm GeV}$. $V_{\rm eff}(\theta)/[(kz_L^{-1})^4/(4\pi)^2]$ has been plotted. The bulk mass parameters are given by ($c_0 = 0.3599, c_1 = 0.4293, c_2 = 0.3120$). The bottom figure shows the behavior near the minimum. The blue solid, the green dashed, and the red short dashed lines show the effective potential containing the contributions from all the $SO(11)$ bulk gauge boson and fermions, only $SO(11)$ bulk gauge boson, and only $SO(11)$ bulk fermions, respectively.

contribution of (i) the top quark is almost canceled by that of (ii) the $\hat{u}$-type $\hat{t}$ fermion. The bottom quark and $\hat{d}$-type $\hat{b}$ fermion contributions are not canceled out, but each contribution is small. The tau lepton and $\hat{e}$-type $\hat{\tau}$ fermion contributions are not canceled out, but each contribution is small. The four contributions from b, $\hat{b}$, τ, and $\hat{\tau}$ add up almost zero. The contribution from neutral fermions is appreciable in the current model.

In the previous section we observed that there appear light exotic fermions which should not exist in reality. In this section we have observed that there appear cancellations among the contributions to $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ from fermions and their corresponding exotics. These two seem to be related, and the too light Higgs boson mass m_H is inferred to be a result of those cancellations.



Figure 2: Contributions of gauge fields to $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$. The input parameters are the same as in Figure 1. The green solid line represents all gauge field contributions for the effective potential, which is the same as the green dashed in Figure 1. The red dashed line is (i) $W^{\pm}$ contribution, the purple short dashed line is (ii) Z contribution, the orange dashed line is (viii) Y contribution, the blue dashed line is (ii) $A_z^{a4}, A_z^{a11} (a = 1, 2, 3)$ contribution, and the brown short dashed line is (v) $A_z^{k4}, A_z^{k11} (k = 5 \sim 10)$ contribution.

6 Conclusion and discussions

In the present paper we have explored the $SO(11)$ gauge-Higgs grand unification in the RS space. $SO(11)$ gauge symmetry is broken to $SO(4) \times SO(6)$ symmetry by the orbifold boundary conditions, which is spontaneously broken to $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_C$ by the brane scalar $\Phi_{\mathbf{16}}$ on the Planck brane. The EW $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry is dynamically broken to $U(1)_{\rm EM}$ by the Hosotani mechanism. The Higgs boson appears as the four-dimensional fluctuation mode of the AB phase in the fifth dimension, or the zero mode of A_y. Thus the gauge-Higgs unification is achieved.

Quark-lepton fermion multiplets are introduced in $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$, $\Psi_{\mathbf{11}}$ and $\Psi'_{\mathbf{11}}$ in each generation. Unlike the $SO(5) \times U(1)_X$ gauge-Higgs EW unification, one need not introduce brane fermions on the Planck brane. The quark-lepton masses are generated by the Hosotani mechanism with $\theta_H \neq 0$, supplemented with the brane interactions on the Planck brane. We have demonstrated that the quark-lepton mass spectrum can be reproduced by adjusting the parameters of the brane interactions.

One of the interesting features of the model is that the proton decay is forbidden, in sharp contrast to the GUT models in four dimensions. The quark-lepton number N_Ψ is conserved by the gauge interactions and brane interactions.

In the current model, however, there appear light exotic fermions associated with $\hat{u}$-type, $\hat{d}$-type and $\hat{e}$-type fermions, which contradicts with the observation. The Higgs boson



Figure 3: Contributions of fermions to $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$. The parameter set is the same as in Figure 1. The red solid line is the total fermion contribution for effective potentials, which is the same as the red dashed in Figure 1. The green dashed line is (i) $Q_{em} = +\frac{2}{3}$ fermion contribution, the cyan short dashed line is (ii) $Q_{em} = -\frac{2}{3}$ fermion contribution, the brown dashed line is (iii) $Q_{em} = -\frac{1}{3}$ fermion contribution, the pink short dashed line is (iv) $Q_{em} = +\frac{1}{3}$ fermion contribution, the blue dashed line is (v) $Q_{em} = -1$ fermion contribution, the gray short dashed line is (vi) $Q_{em} = +1$ fermion contribution, the orange dashed line is (vii-1) $Q_{em} = 0$ fermion contribution, and the magenta short dashed line is (vii-2) $Q_{em} = 0$ fermion contribution.

mass m_H, which is predicted in the current gauge-Higgs grand unification, turns out too small. The small m_H is a result of the partial cancellation among the contributions of the quark-lepton component and the exotic fermion component to the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$. In other words the exotic fermion problem and the small m_H problem seem to be related to each other. The model need improvement in this regard. We hope to report how to cure those problems in the near future.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 23104009 and No. 15K05052.

A $SO(11)$, $SO(10)$ and $SO(4)$

The generators of $SO(11)$, $T_{jk} = -T_{kj} = T_{jk}^\dagger$ $(j, k = 1 \sim 11)$, satisfy the algebra

$$[T_{ij}, T_{kl}] = i(\delta_{ik}T_{jl} - \delta_{il}T_{jk} + \delta_{jl}T_{ik} - \delta_{jk}T_{il}) \ . \tag{A.1}$$

In the adjoint representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(T_{ij})_{pq} = -i(\delta_{ip}\delta_{jq} - \delta_{iq}\delta_{jp}) \quad , \\
&\mathrm{Tr}\, T_{jk}T_{lm} = 2(\delta_{jl}\delta_{km} - \delta_{jm}\delta_{kl}) \quad , \quad \mathrm{Tr}\,(T_{jk})^2 = 2 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}
$$

As a basis of $SO(11)$ Clifford algebra, it is convenient to adopt

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\Gamma_j, \Gamma_k\} = 2\delta_{jk}\, I_{32} \ , \\
&\Gamma_1 = \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \ , \\
&\Gamma_2 = \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \ , \\
&\Gamma_3 = \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \ , \\
&\Gamma_4 = \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \ , \\
&\Gamma_5 = \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \ , \\
&\Gamma_6 = \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \ , \\
&\Gamma_7 = \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1 \ , \\
&\Gamma_8 = \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^1 \ , \\
&\Gamma_9 = \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^1 \ , \\
&\Gamma_{10} = \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^2 \ , \\
&\Gamma_{11} = \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^3 \quad = -i\Gamma_1 \cdots \Gamma_{10} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}
$$

where $\sigma^0 = I_2$ and $\{\sigma^k\}$ are Pauli matrices. In terms of Γ_j the $SO(11)$ generators in the spinorial representation are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&T_{jk} = -\frac{i}{4}\,[\Gamma_j, \Gamma_k] \ \left(= -\frac{i}{2}\,\Gamma_j\Gamma_k \quad \text{for } j \neq k \right) \ , \\
&(T_{jk})^2 = \frac{1}{4}\, I_{32} \quad , \quad \mathrm{Tr}\,(T_{jk})^2 = 8 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}
$$

The orbifold boundary conditions P_0, P_1 in (2.6) and (2.7) break $SO(11)$ to $SO(4) \times SO(6)$. The generators of the corresponding $SO(4) \simeq SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ in the spinorial representation are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\vec{T}_L = \tfrac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} T_{23} + T_{14} \\ T_{31} + T_{24} \\ T_{12} + T_{34} \end{pmatrix} = \tfrac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \ , \\
&\vec{T}_R = \tfrac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} T_{23} - T_{14} \\ T_{31} - T_{24} \\ T_{12} - T_{34} \end{pmatrix} = \tfrac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}
$$

The orbifold boundary condition P_0 at the Planck brane reduces $SO(11)$ to $SO(10)$, whose generators are given by T_{jk} $(j, k = 1 \sim 10)$. In the representation (A.3) those

generators become block-diagonal $T^{SO(10)}_{jk} = [\cdots] \otimes (\sigma^0 \text{ or } \sigma^3)$ so that a spinor **32** of $SO(11)$ splits into $\mathbf{16} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{16}}$ of $SO(10)$;

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{32}} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\mathbf{16}} \\ \Psi_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{A.6}$$

With (A.3) one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_j^* = (-1)^{j+1} \Gamma_j \ , \\
&R \Gamma_j R = (-1)^j \Gamma_j \ , \quad R \Gamma_j^* R = - \Gamma_j \ , \\
&R T_{jk}^* R = - T_{jk} \ , \\
&R = \Gamma_2 \Gamma_4 \Gamma_6 \Gamma_8 \Gamma_{10} = R^\dagger = R^{-1} \\
&\quad = -\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
&\quad \equiv -\hat{R} \otimes \sigma^2 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

It follows that for an $SO(11)$ spinor $\Psi_{\mathbf{32}}$, the R-transformed one also transforms as **32**.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{32}} \equiv i R \Psi^*_{\mathbf{32}} \ , \\
&\Psi'_{\mathbf{32}} = \Big(1 + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{jk} T_{jk}\Big) \Psi_{\mathbf{32}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{\Psi}'_{\mathbf{32}} = \Big(1 + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{jk} T_{jk}\Big) \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{32}} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Its $SO(10)$ content is given by

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{32}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{16}} \\ \tilde{\Psi}_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\hat{R} \Psi^*_{\overline{\mathbf{16}}} \\ +\hat{R} \Psi^*_{\mathbf{16}} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{A.9}$$

B Basis functions in RS space

Mode functions of various fields in the RS spacetime are expressed in terms of Bessel functions. We define, for gauge fields,

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(z;\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{2} \lambda z z_L F_{1,0}(\lambda z, \lambda z_L) \ , \quad C'(z;\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{2} \lambda^2 z z_L F_{0,0}(\lambda z, \lambda z_L) \ , \\
&S(z;\lambda) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \lambda z F_{1,1}(\lambda z, \lambda z_L) \ , \quad S'(z;\lambda) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \lambda^2 z F_{0,1}(\lambda z, \lambda z_L) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where $F_{\alpha,\beta}(u,v) = J_\alpha(u) Y_\beta(v) - Y_\alpha(u) J_\beta(v)$. They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
&z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z} \frac{d}{dz} \begin{pmatrix} C(z;\lambda) \\ S(z;\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = -\lambda^2 \begin{pmatrix} C(z;\lambda) \\ S(z;\lambda) \end{pmatrix} , \\
&C(z_L;\lambda) = z_L \ , \ \ C'(z_L;\lambda) = 0 \ , \ \ S(z_L;\lambda) = 0 \ , \ \ S'(z_L;\lambda) = \lambda \ , \\
&CS' - SC' = \lambda z \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{d}{dz}z\frac{d}{dz}\frac{1}{z}\begin{pmatrix}C'(z;\lambda)\\ S'(z;\lambda)\end{pmatrix}=-\lambda^2\begin{pmatrix}C'(z;\lambda)\\ S'(z;\lambda)\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\frac{d}{dz}\Big\{\frac{1}{z}S'(z;\lambda)\Big\}\Big|_{z=z_L}=0\ . \tag{B.3}$$

For fermions with a bulk mass parameter c we define

$$\begin{pmatrix}C_L\\ S_L\end{pmatrix}(z;\lambda,c)=\pm\frac{\pi}{2}\lambda\sqrt{zz_L}F_{c+\frac{1}{2},c\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda z,\lambda z_L)\ ,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}C_R\\ S_R\end{pmatrix}(z;\lambda,c)=\mp\frac{\pi}{2}\lambda\sqrt{zz_L}F_{c-\frac{1}{2},c\pm\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda z,\lambda z_L)\ , \tag{B.4}$$

which satisfy

$$D_+(c)\begin{pmatrix}C_L\\ S_L\end{pmatrix}=\lambda\begin{pmatrix}S_R\\ C_R\end{pmatrix}\ ,\quad D_-(c)\begin{pmatrix}C_R\\ S_R\end{pmatrix}=\lambda\begin{pmatrix}S_L\\ C_L\end{pmatrix}\ ,$$

$$D_\pm(c)=\pm\frac{d}{dz}+\frac{c}{z}\ ,$$

$$C_R=C_L=1\ ,\quad S_R=S_L=0\ ,\quad \text{at } z=z_L\ ,$$

$$C_LC_R-S_LS_R=1\ . \tag{B.5}$$

We note that for $\lambda z_L\ll 1$ and $c\geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(1;\lambda)\sim z_L\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\}\ ,\\
&C'(1;\lambda)\sim \lambda^2z_L\ln z_L\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\}\ ,\\
&S(1;\lambda)\sim -\tfrac{1}{2}\lambda z_L\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\}\ ,\\
&S'(1;\lambda)\sim \lambda z_L^{-1}\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\}\ ,\\
&C_L(1;\lambda,c)\sim z_L^c\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\}\ ,\\
&C_R(1;\lambda,c)\sim z_L^{-c}\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\}\ ,\\
&S_L(1;\lambda,c)\sim -\frac{\lambda z_L^{c+1}}{2(c+\frac{1}{2})}\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\}\ ,\\
&S_R(1;\lambda,c)\sim\begin{cases}\dfrac{\lambda z_L^c}{2(c-\frac{1}{2})}\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\} & \text{for } c>\frac{1}{2}\\ \lambda z_L^{1/2}\ln z_L & \text{for } c=\frac{1}{2}\\ \dfrac{\lambda z_L^{1-c}}{2(\frac{1}{2}-c)}\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\} & \text{for } c<\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

In particular,

$$S_L(1;\lambda,c)S_R(1;\lambda,c)\sim\begin{cases}-\dfrac{\lambda^2z_L^{2c+1}}{4c^2-1}\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\} & \text{for } c>\frac{1}{2}\\ -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2z_L^2\ln z_L & \text{for } c=\frac{1}{2}\\ -\dfrac{\lambda^2z_L^2}{1-4c^2}\cdot\big\{1+O(\lambda^2z_L^2)\big\} & \text{for } c<\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}\ . \tag{B.7}$$

References

[1] Y. Hosotani, *"Dynamical Mass Generation by Compact Extra Dimensions,"* *Phys. Lett.* B**126**, 309 (1983).

[2] Y. Hosotani, *"Dynamics of Nonintegrable Phases and Gauge Symmetry Breaking,"* *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **190**, 233 (1989).

[3] A. T. Davies and A. McLachlan, *"Gauge group breaking by Wilson loops,"* *Phys. Lett.* B**200**, 305 (1988); *"Congruency class effects in the Hosotani model,"* *Nucl. Phys.* B**317**, 237 (1989).

[4] H. Hatanaka, T. Inami and C.S. Lim, *"The gauge hierarchy problem and higher dimensional gauge theories,"* *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A**13**, 2601 (1998).

[5] K. Agashe, R. Contino and A. Pomarol, *"The Minimal Composite Higgs Model,"* *Nucl. Phys.* B**719**, 165 (2005).

[6] A. D. Medina, N. R. Shah, and C. E. Wagner, *"Gauge-Higgs Unification and Radiative Electroweak Symmetry Breaking in Warped Extra Dimensions,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**76**, 095010 (2007).

[7] Y. Hosotani and Y. Sakamura, *"Anomalous Higgs couplings in the $SO(5) \times U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge-Higgs unification in warped spacetime,"* *Prog. Theoret. Phys.* **118**, 935 (2007).

[8] Y. Hosotani, K. Oda, T. Ohnuma and Y. Sakamura, *"Dynamical Electroweak Symmetry Breaking in $SO(5) \times U(1)$ Gauge-Higgs Unification with Top and Bottom Quarks,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**78**, 096002 (2008); *Erratum-ibid.* D**79**, 079902 (2009).

[9] B. Gripaios, A. Pomarol, F. Riva and J. Serra, *"Beyond the Minimal Composite Higgs Model,"* *JHEP* **0904**, 070 (2009).

[10] Y. Hosotani, S. Noda and N. Uekusa, *"The Electroweak gauge couplings in $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Prog. Theoret. Phys.* **123**, 757 (2010).

[11] S. Funatsu, H. Hatanaka, Y. Hosotani, Y. Orikasa, and T. Shimotani, *"Novel universality and Higgs decay $H \to \gamma\gamma, gg$ in the $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Phys. Lett.* B**722**, 94 (2013).

[12] Y. Sakamura and Y. Hosotani , *"WWZ, WWH, and ZZH couplings in the dynamical gauge-Higgs unification in the warped spacetime,"* *Phys. Lett.* B**645**, 442 (2007).

[13] Y. Hosotani and Y. Kobayashi, *"Yukawa couplings and effective interactions in gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Phys. Lett.* B**674**, 192 (2009).

[14] K. Hasegawa, N. Kurahashi, C.S. Lim and K. Tanabe, *"Anomalous Higgs interactions in gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**87**, 016011 (2013).

[15] N. Kurahashi, C.S. Lim, K. Tanabe, *"Anomalous Higgs interactions in dimensional deconstruction,"* *Prog. Theoret. Exp. Phys.* **2014**, 123B04 (2014).

[16] S. Funatsu, H. Hatanaka andY. Hosotani, *"$H \to Z\gamma$ in the gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**92**, 115003 (2015).

[17] Y. Hosotani, M. Tanaka, and N. Uekusa, *"Collider signatures of the $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**84**, 075014 (2011).

[18] S. Funatsu, H. Hatanaka, Y. Hosotani, Y. Orikasa and T. Shimotani, *"LHC signals of the $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**89**, 095019 (2014).

[19] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, *"Unity of all elementary particle forces,"* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 438 (1974).

[20] Y. Mambrini, N. Nagata, K. A. Olive, J. Quevillon and J. Zheng, *"Dark matter and gauge coupling unification in nonsupersymmetric SO(10) grand unified models,"* Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 9, 095010 (2015). arXiv:1502.06929 [hep-ph].

[21] J. Hisano, Y. Muramatsu, Y. Omura and M. Yamanaka, *"Flavor violating Z' from $SO(10)$ SUSY GUT in High-Scale SUSY,"* Phys. Lett. B **744**, 395 (2015). arXiv:1503.06156 [hep-ph].

[22] T. D. Brennan, *"Two Loop Unification of Non-SUSY SO(10) GUT with TeV Scalars,"* arXiv:1503.08849 [hep-ph].

[23] F. Feruglio, K. M. Patel and D. Vicino, *"A realistic pattern of fermion masses from a five-dimensional SO(10) model,"* JHEP **1509**, 040 (2015). arXiv:1507.00669 [hep-ph].

[24] K. S. Babu and S. Khan, *"Minimal nonsupersymmetric $SO(10)$ model: Gauge coupling unification, proton decay, and fermion masses,"* Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 7, 075018 (2015). arXiv:1507.06712 [hep-ph].

[25] T. Fukuyama, K. Ichikawa and Y. Mimura, *"Revisiting fermion mass and mixing fits in the minimal SUSY $SO(10)$ GUT,"* arXiv:1508.07078 [hep-ph].

[26] N. Nagata, K. A. Olive and J. Zheng, *"Weakly-Interacting Massive Particles in Non-supersymmetric SO(10) Grand Unified Models,"* JHEP **1510**, 193 (2015). arXiv:1509.00809 [hep-ph].

[27] C. Arbelaez, R. Longas, D. Restrepo and O. Zapata, *"Fermion dark matter from SO(10) GUTs,"* Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 1, 013012 (2016). arXiv:1509.06313 [hep-ph].

[28] P. M. Ferreira, W. Grimus, D. Jurciukonis and L. Lavoura, *"Flavour symmetries in a renormalizable SO(10) model,"* Nucl. Phys. B **906**, 289 (2016). arXiv:1510.02641 [hep-ph].

[29] S. M. Boucenna, M. B. Krauss and E. Nardi, *"Dark matter from the vector of SO (10),"* Phys. Lett. B **755**, 168 (2016). arXiv:1511.02524 [hep-ph].

[30] J. L. Evans, N. Nagata, K. A. Olive and J. Zheng, *"The ATLAS Diboson Resonance in Non-Supersymmetric SO(10),"* JHEP **1602**, 120 (2016). arXiv:1512.02184 [hep-ph].

[31] Y. Mambrini, N. Nagata, K. A. Olive and J. Zheng, *"Vacuum Stability and Radiative Electroweak Symmetry Breaking in an SO(10) Dark Matter Model,"* arXiv:1602.05583 [hep-ph].

[32] X. Chu and A. Y. Smirnov, *"Neutrino mixing and masses in SO(10) GUTs with*

hidden sector and flavor symmetries," JHEP **1605**, 135 (2016). arXiv:1604.03977 [hep-ph].

[33] K. S. Babu, B. Bajc and S. Saad, *"New Class of SO(10) Models for Flavor,"* arXiv:1605.05116 [hep-ph].

[34] B. Bajc and A. Y. Smirnov, *"Hidden flavor symmetries of SO(10) GUT,"* arXiv:1605.07955 [hep-ph].

[35] N. Maekawa and Y. Muramatsu, *"Nucleon decay via dimension-6 operators in $E_6 \times SU(2)_F \times U(1)_A$ SUSY GUT model,"* PTEP **2014**, no. 11, 113B03 (2014). arXiv:1401.2633 [hep-ph].

[36] N. Maekawa, Y. Muramatsu and Y. Shigekami, *"Sizable D-term contribution as a signature of $E_6 \times SU(2)_F \times U(1)_A$ SUSY GUT model,"* PTEP **2014**, no. 11, 113B02 (2014). arXiv:1405.4193 [hep-ph].

[37] T. Ishihara, N. Maekawa, M. Takegawa and M. Yamanaka, *"Leptogenesis in $E_6 \times U(1)_A$ SUSY GUT model,"* JHEP **1602**, 108 (2016). arXiv:1508.06212 [hep-ph].

[38] N. Maekawa and Y. Muramatsu, *"Flavor changing nucleon decay,"* arXiv:1601.04789 [hep-ph].

[39] J. Hisano, D. Kobayashi, T. Kuwahara and N. Nagata, *"Decoupling Can Revive Minimal Supersymmetric SU(5),"* JHEP **1307**, 038 (2013) arXiv:1304.3651 [hep-ph].

[40] N. Yamatsu, *"A Supersymmetric Grand Unified Model with Noncompact Horizontal Symmetry,"* PTEP **2013**, no. 12, 123B01 (2013). arXiv:1304.5215 [hep-ph].

[41] J. Hisano, T. Kuwahara and Y. Omura, *"Threshold Corrections to Baryon Number Violating Operators in Supersymmetric $SU(5)$ GUTs,"* Nucl. Phys. B **898**, 1 (2015). Erratum: [Nucl. Phys. B **907**, 476 (2016)]. arXiv:1503.08561 [hep-ph].

[42] R. M. Fonseca, *"On the chirality of the SM and the fermion content of GUTs,"* Nucl. Phys. B **897**, 757 (2015). arXiv:1504.03695 [hep-ph].

[43] R. P. Feger and T. W. Kephart, *"Grand Unification and Exotic Fermions,"* Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 3, 035005 (2015). arXiv:1505.03403 [hep-ph].

[44] R. M. Fonseca and M. Hirsch, *"SU(5)-inspired double beta decay,"* Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 1, 015014 (2015). arXiv:1505.06121 [hep-ph].

[45] B. Bajc, J. Hisano, T. Kuwahara and Y. Omura, *"Threshold Corrections to Dimension-six Proton Decay Operators in Non-minimal SUSY SU(5) GUTs,"* arXiv:1603.03568 [hep-ph].

[46] Y. Kawamura and T. Miura, *"Classification of Standard Model Particles in E_6 Orbifold Grand Unified Theories,"* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **28**, 1350055 (2013). arXiv:1301.7469 [hep-ph].

[47] Y. Goto, Y. Kawamura and T. Miura, *"Orbifold family unification on six dimensions,"* Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 5, 055016 (2013). arXiv:1307.2631.

[48] Y. Goto and Y. Kawamura, *"Predictions of SU (9) orbifold family unification,"* Phys.

Lett. B **752**, 252 (2016). arXiv:1508.06357 [hep-ph].

[49] H. Abe, Y. Fujimoto, T. Kobayashi, T. Miura, K. Nishiwaki, M. Sakamoto and Y. Tatsuta, *"Classification of three-generation models on magnetized orbifolds,"* Nucl. Phys. B **894**, 374 (2015). arXiv:1501.02787 [hep-ph].

[50] Y. Fujimoto, T. Kobayashi, K. Nishiwaki, M. Sakamoto and Y. Tatsuta, *"Comprehensive Analysis of Yukawa Hierarchies on T^2/Z_N with Magnetic Fluxes,"* arXiv:1605.00140 [hep-ph].

[51] H. Abe, T. Kobayashi, H. Otsuka, Y. Takano and T. H. Tatsuishi, *"Flavor structure in $SO(32)$ heterotic string theory,"* arXiv:1605.00898 [hep-ph].

[52] R. Slansky, *"Group Theory for Unified Model Building,"* *Phys. Rep.* **79**, 1 (1981).

[53] N. Yamatsu, *"Finite-Dimensional Lie Algebras and Their Representations for Unified Model Building,"* arXiv:1511.08771 [hep-ph].

[54] Y. Hosotani and N. Yamatsu, *"Gauge-Higgs grand unification,"* *Prog. Theoret. Exp. Phys.* **2015**, 111B01 (2015). arXiv: 1504.03817 [hep-ph].

[55] Y. Hosotani and N. Yamatsu, *"Gauge-Higgs Grand Unification,"* *PoS PLANCK* **2015**, 058 (2015). arXiv: 1511.01674 [hep-ph].

[56] N. Yamatsu, *"Gauge coupling unification in gauge-Higgs grand unification,"* *Prog. Theoret. Exp. Phys.* **2016**, 043B02 (2016). arXiv: 1512.05559 [hep-ph].

[57] G. Burdman and Y. Nomura, *"Unification of Higgs and gauge fields in five dimensions,"* *Nucl. Phys.* B**656**, 3 (2003).

[58] N. Haba, Masatomi Harada, Y. Hosotani and Y. Kawamura, *"Dynamical rearrangement of gauge symmetry on the orbifold S^1/Z_2,"* *Nucl. Phys.* B**657**, 169 (2003); *Erratum-ibid.* B**669**, 381 (2003).

[59] N. Haba, Y. Hosotani, Y. Kawamura and T. Yamashita, *"Dynamical symmetry breaking in gauge Higgs unification on orbifold,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**70**, 015010 (2004).

[60] C.S. Lim and N. Maru, *"Towards a realistic grand gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Phys. Lett.* B**653**, 320 (2007).

[61] K. Kojima, K. Takenaga and T. Yamashita, *"Grand gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**84**, 051701(R) (2011).

[62] M. Frigerio, J. Serra and A. Varagnolo, *"Composite GUTs: models and expectations at the LHC,"* *JHEP* **1106**, 029 (2011).

[63] S. Funatsu, H. Hatanaka, Y. Hosotani, Y. Orikasa and T. Shimotani, *"Dark matter in the $SO(5)\times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Prog. Theoret. Exp. Phys.* **2014**, 113B01 (2014).

[64] M. Kakizaki, S. Kanemura, H. Taniguchi and T. Yamashita *"Higgs sector as a probe of supersymmetric grand unification with the Hosotani mechanism,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**89**, 075013 (2014).

[65] Y. Matsumoto and Y. Sakamura, *"6D gauge-Higgs unification on T^2/Z_N with custodial symmetry,"* *JHEP* **1408**, 175 (2014).

[66] N. Kitazawa and Y. Sakai, *"Constraints on gauge-Higgs unification models at the LHC,"* *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A**31**, 1650041 (2016).

[67] Y. Matsumoto and Y. Sakamura, *"Yukawa couplings in 6D gauge-Higgs unification on T^2/Z_N with magnetic fluxes,"* *Prog. Theoret. Exp. Phys.* **2016**, 053B06 (2016).

[68] Y. Adachi and N. Maru, *"Trilinear gauge boson couplings in the gauge-Higgs unification,"* arXiv:1604.01531 [hep-ph].

[69] K. Hasegawa and C.S. Lim, *"A few comments on the Higgs boson decays in gauge-Higgs unification,"* arXiv:1605.06228 [hep-ph] .

[70] K. Yamamoto, *"The formulation of gauge-Higgs unification with dynamical boundary conditions,"* *Nucl. Phys.* B**883**, 45 (2014).

[71] G. Cossu, H. Hatanaka, Y. Hosotani, and J.-I. Noaki, *"Polyakov loops and the Hosotani mechanism on the lattice,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**89**, 094509 (2014).

[72] O. Akerlund and P. de Forcrand, *"Gauge-invariant signatures of spontaneous gauge symmetry breaking by the Hosotani mechanism,"* *PoS* Lattice **2014**, 272 (2015).

[73] F. Knechtli and E. Rinaldi, *"Extra-dimensional models on the lattice,"* arXiv:1605.04341 [hep-lat].

[74] A. Falkowski, *"Holographic pseudo-Goldstone boson,"* *Phys. Rev.* D**75**, 025017 (2007).

[75] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], *"Review of Particle Physics,"* Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).

[76] H. Hatanaka and Y. Hosotani, *"SUSY breaking scales in the gauge-Higgs unification,"* *Phys. Lett.* B**713**, 481 (2012).